

Kirkland, April

From: mooselook@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Cathleen Griffin
<mooselook@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 04, 2019 4:39 PM
To: DEP, NECEC
Subject: NECEC Wildlife Impacts Not Properly Avoided, Mitigated, or Compensated

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside of the State of Maine Mail System. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Maine Department of Environmental Protection,

My husband and I spent a lot of time camping, paddling, and hiking in western Maine and I'm very familiar with The Forks and surrounding areas. It's spectacular country with many opportunities to recreate. The thought of it being decimated for any purpose, for anyone, is heartbreaking. Some areas just need to be wild. Leave the Maine woods untouched, unspoiled. Shame on the state government for even considering this. It's our wood, our land, our scenery to enjoy now and for generations to come. Hands off!

As proposed, NECEC would negatively affect wildlife through direct habitat loss, habitat alteration, and habitat degradation, and by permanently dissecting large, undeveloped and highly connected forest ecosystems and waterways, and the Applicant has not done enough to avoid, mitigate, or compensate for the permanent degradation of this ecosystem.

I understand that the DEP is considering the adequacy of the compensation offered for impacts that cannot be reasonably avoided or mitigated. Maine Audubon estimates that Segment 1 of the proposed corridor would impact more than 5,000 linear acres of habitat. Applying a standard multiplier, this would suggest conservation of 40,000 to 100,000 acres of protected lands to offset impacts associated with fragmentation.

These lands should be large blocks of unfragmented habitat near the proposed transmission line, preferably of a diverse biogeographic nature and managed for mature forest characteristics in order to provide habitat for the many interior forest and wide-ranging species that would be adversely affected. Conservation could come in the form of fee acquisition, conservation easements, or a combination of the two. This compensation should be in addition to the approximately 2,700 acres already offered to compensate for direct impacts to wetlands in the corridor.

Such compensation should be in addition further steps to avoid and minimize wildlife habitat impacts

Sincerely,
Cathleen Griffin
92 Eagle Crest Rd Sebago, ME 04029-3444