Analysis of

Maine Fire Incident Reports

with

Juvenile Involvement

from 2004-early 2008

By Bonnie Simcock

data analyst

Maine Juvenile Fire Safety Collaborative Program

Contents

Introduction	5
Maine Juvenile Fire Data by Geographic Analysis	6
Town	7
Maine Fire Incidents (Juveniles)	7
Socioeconomic Analysis for Incidence of Fire	10
Maine Socioeconomic Analysis	12
Population	12
Age	12
Race	13
Family Size	13
Home Occupancy & Ownership	13
Home Values	13
Education	14
Language other than English at Home	14
Labor Force	14
Income	14
Poverty	15
Maine Dollar Loss	16
Maine Casualties Resulting from Juvenile Fires	19
Reporting of Juvenile Fire Incidents Using MEFIRS	19
MEFIRS Data Specific to Juvenile Fire Incidents	20
Ignition Cause	21
Seasonality and Time of Day for Juvenile Fire Incidents in Maine 2004-early 2008	22
Incident Type and Property Use	24
Area of Origin	26
Heat Source for Juvenile Fire Incidents	27
Form and Type of Material First Ignited	27
Detection	29
Individual Motivations and Other Determinants of Firesetting Behavior	29
Maine NASFM Firesetter Intervention Project Data	31
Recommendations	32

Works Cited
Attachment 1- Map of Maine Fires Ignited by Children 2004-2008
Attachment 2 –Reported Maine Fire Incidents with Juveniles Involved Sorted by Town (Largest Number of Incidents to Smallest Number Reported)
Attachment 3 – Socioeconomic Decennial Census Data Maine Towns Reporting 20 or more Juvenile Fire Incidents from 2004- early 2008
Attachment 3 continued – Socioeconomic Decennial Census Data Maine Counties Raw Data
Attachment 3 continued – Socioeconomic Decennial Census Data Maine Counties Rank by Census Data 43
Attachment 4 Juvenile Fire Incident Dollar Loss & Value 2004-early 2008 (Sorted by Maine county, then town alphabetically)
Attachment 5a – Maine Statute – Fire Reporting 48
Attachment 5b – Locations of Fire Incident, Reports by Year
Attachment 6 – Incident Types and Property Use Counts for Maine Juvenile Fire Incidents 2004-early 2008 57
Attachment 6 continued– Incident Types and Property Use Counts for Maine Juvenile Fire Incidents 2004-early 2008
Attachment 7 – Juvenile Fire Incident Area of Origin 2004 – early 2008
Attachment 8 – Heat Sources Reported Maine Juvenile Fires 2004-early 2008
Attachment 9 – Materials First Ignited n Maine Juvenile Fire Incidents 2004- early 2008
Attachment 9 continued – Materials First Ignited n Maine Juvenile Fire Incidents 2004- early 2008
Attachment 10 - Detection in Confined and Structure Fires with Juveniles Involved, 2004-early 2008
Attachment 11 – Juvenile Fire Safety Executive Order

Charts

-igure 1 – Juvenile Fire Incidents by Location (Maine towns)	1
Figure 2 – Juvenile Fire Incident Totals by Maine Town	7
Figure 3 – Juvenile Fire Incidents by Towns (Top Reporters and Towns Represented in Groups by # Reported)	8
Figure 4 – Geographic Clusters of Maine Juvenile Fire Incidents	8
Figure 5 – Juvenile Fire Incidents Graphed by Maine County	10
Figure 6 – Dollar Loss & Value for Juvenile Fire Incidents by Maine County	17
Figure 7 – Dollar Loss & Value for Juvenile Fires sorted by Maine Towns	18
Figure 8 – Reporting Locales by Year for Juvenile Fires	19
Figure 9 – Reporting Trends for Juvenile Fire Incidents by Maine County	20
Figure 10 – Ignition Cause	21
Figure 11 – Seasonality of Indoor & Outdoor Juvenile Fires	22
Figure 12 – Seasonality of Outdoor Juvenile Fires	22
Figure 13 – Time of Day for Maine Juvenile Fire Incidents	23
Figure 14 – Maine Juvenile Fire Incident Types	24
Figure 15 – Juvenile Fire Incident Property Types	25
Figure 16 Type of Property Ownership	26
Figure 17 – Area of Origin	26
Figure 18 – Heat Source	27
-igure 19 – Form Material Ignited	28
-igure 20 – Ignited Material Type Definition	28

Introduction

A portion of the 2006 Fire Prevention and Safety Grant to the Maine Juvenile Fire Safety Program (Department of Homeland Security Assistance to Firefighters Grant Program) was dedicated to data management and analysis. To better understand the scope of Maine's challenge with juvenile firesetting, looking at both the response and prevention management efforts, it was necessary to undertake a comprehensive review of the Maine fire incident reporting system [MEFIRS, based on the National Fire Incident Reporting System] and the National Association of State Fire Marshall's [NASFM] Intervention intake/screening data collection system. Arson, according to the U.S. Fire Administration, ranks third among leading causes for fires and the second leading cause of fire death in the United States.

Data from 1989 through 1993 reveal that among all fires that resulted in the death of a small child, over one-third were caused by children playing [with fire]. (National Fire Protection Association July, 1996)

The results of this study track more than four years of Maine data over a variety of measures related to incident details (type of fire, ignition cause, presence of smoke detectors, etc.). It also documents a need for better reporting in terms of incident- specifics including (but not limited to):

- dollar loss,
- age,
- socioeconomics of persons involved,
- potential motivation factors and
- documentation of appropriate referral to educational programs, mental health services or law enforcement.

From 2004 to early 2008, Maine fire departments reported 1364 fire incidents with juvenile involvement resulting in more than \$28,600,528 in total loss, 7 civilian deaths, 48 civilian injuries and 18 fire service injuries [MEFIRS incidents with juvenile arson module record]. Over this same time period, the Maine NASFM intervention data system documented intake of **221 juveniles** who were referred because of fireplay or firesetting behavior, but not as a result of an emergent or incident response.

These numbers also helped track which departments or municipalities are making use of both the MEFIRS and NASFM systems for reporting, since use of the system was voluntary until 2007 (see **Attachment 5a – Maine Statute on Fire Reporting**). It's suspected that the numbers of incidents with juveniles, dollar loss and juveniles treated for fire curiosity or setting behavior are larger than what the data documents. However, for the analysis of specific criteria, the data, unless otherwise indicated, is a large enough sample to be representative of actual events, potentially including those not reported. The study also uncovered areas that require better training or understanding among users for the most accurate data selections.

This data provides a measure of the problem, but also a measure of the current response (and areas ideal for awareness and prevention campaigns). If accurately recorded, a department will be able to measure the number of incidents for which it responded, the individuals served and/or rescued and the amount of property saved, which would undoubtedly be helpful when making the case for funding opportunities.

Maine Juvenile Fire Data by Geographic Analysis

For the purposes of this study, it was important to get a total incident count separated by municipality, geographic region and county to see if any trends emerged for population, socioeconomic census data or other known factors. A map of the Maine reported incidents with juveniles can be seen as **Attachment 1- Maine Fires Ignited by Children 2004-2008**. The full list of towns with incident totals (separated annually) can be seen as **Attachment 2 – Reported Fire Incidents with Juveniles Involved Sorted by Town** Totals, Largest to Smallest.

Figure 1 – Juvenile Fire Incidents by Location (Maine towns)



	Maine Fire	
	Incidents	
Town	(Juveniles) 2004-2008	% of Inc
Bangor	138.00	10.12%
Westbrook	100.00	7.33%
Lewiston	70.00	5.13%
Auburn	57.00	4.18%
Rockland	54.00	3.96%
Old Town	40.00	2.93%
Orono	35.00	2.57%
Portland	34.00	2.49%
Calais	33.00	2.42%
Topsham	32.00	2.35%
Bucksport	29.00	2.13%
Lincoln	28.00	2.05%
Sanford	26.00	1.91%
New Gloucester	24.00	1.76%
Presque Isle	23.00	1.69%
South Berwick	23.00	1.69%
Warren	22.00	1.61%

The municipalities that reported 40 or more incidents account for 34% of all reported fires with juvenile involvement from 2004 through early 2008. The towns with more than 20 incidents (including those with 40 or more) reported account for 57%, 17 towns reporting 11-20 incidents account for 18%, 26 towns reporting 6-10 fires account for 14%, and 70 towns reporting 5 or less fires over this period account for 11% of all reported juvenile fire incidents.



Figure 3 – Juvenile Fire Incidents by Towns (Top Reporters and Towns Represented in Groups by # Reported)

Those municipalities reporting more incidents may be the areas making best use of MEFIRS. These municipalities are the less "rural" areas population and service-wise, and may have a better understanding of the system as well as more resources to devote to recordkeeping. These municipalities show fairly consistent reporting from year to year (although 2008 incident totals were unavailable for most of these municipalities at the time of study).

There is some correlation among Maine's top reporters and population. The municipalities with the highest **population rank** (in order) are:

- 1. Portland
- 2 Lewiston
- 3. Bangor
- 4. South Portland
- 5. Auburn

- 6. Brunswick
- 7. Biddeford
- 8. Sanford
- 9. Augusta
- 10. Scarborough

All but two are in the category of reporting more than 20 incidents with juveniles over the period studied. Due to software import errors, South Portland's data was unavailable at the time of study. Scarborough reported five incidents in 2006 and 2007.

Each of the top-reporter areas is also designated a "Regional Service Center" by the Maine State Planning Office. Figure 4 – Geographic Clusters of Maine Juvenile Fire Incidents



Since services in Maine are often regionalized, especially for health-related programs, the number of reported incidents was also parceled by geographic clusters. These areas share geographic borders, services and sometimes similar demographics. Together, these "clusters" represent 966, or 71% of the total 1364 reported incidents.



Socioeconomic Analysis for Incidence of Fire

The following brief review of research documents fire data, in general, but many of these factors may also correspond with arson and/or juvenile involvement in fires. There is information specific to arson, when available and appropriate. The State of Maine Juvenile Fire Safety & Intervention Protocol (available through the State Fire Marshall's Office, Maine Department of Public Safety) contains more information relevant to incidence of juvenile fire.

Other than population count and availability of services, does any other general socioeconomic data correlate to the number of incidents reported? According to a FEMA report (Federal Emergency Management Agency June 1997) "socioeconomic factors are among the best known predictors of fire rates at the neighborhood level." This same study states, "Virtually every study of socioeconomic characteristics has shown that lower levels of income are either directly or indirectly tied to an increased risk of fire."

In the late 1970s, a study took this hypothesis further, finding that the following variables, also related to income, were negatively correlated to fire rates: *parental presence, poverty,* and *formal education less than*

eight years, (Schaenman 1977, 54, 57-58). The 1997 FEMA report stated that the Schaenman et al. study also found that the following variables also had some positive correlation to fire rates: formal education of high school or higher education, race, home ownership, adequate income, housing crowdedness, housing vacancy, and age of housing structures. Schaenman et al. found other variables not correlated to fire variations: one-unit housing structures; unemployment, elderly population, or long-time residency.

Arson, as previously stated, is the third leading cause of fires nationally and second leading cause of fire death in all residential fires (NFIRS, 1994). In a 1981 study by Paul Gunther, the relationship between income and arson was the largest predictor (Gunther 1981, 52-58). The 1997 FEMA study states studies have shown higher rates of children "playing" with fire in low income households (Federal Emergency Management Agency June 1997, 28).

Also noted in the 1997 FEMA report was a model by Charles Jennings (Jennings 1996, 105-107), looking at building stock, social/household system, demographics and economics. These variables accounted for 63% variation in residential fire rates. Jennings found income and education were highly positively correlated to fire variation (as these rates rose, incidents of fire dropped).

Greater understanding of the role played by socioeconomic factors is critical for at least two reasons. First, they have been shown, as reported, to be powerful predictors of the incidence of fire in different types of neighborhoods. Second, Jennings claims that socioeconomic and environmental factors outweigh fire suppression factors, such as fire department resources in determining loss from fires. These losses include both the dollar value of loss property and the rate of injury and death among humans. (Federal Emergency Management Agency June 1997, 7)

Most of the research pertaining to socioeconomic factors and incidence of fire is for urban areas, but many of the hypotheses may also be applied to rural areas of Maine that share similar demographics . Income and education levels are the highest predictors of fire incidence. As the FEMA reports states, many neighborhoods in the U.S. still tend to be segregated by income levels. In higher populated areas of Maine, and in some remote, rural areas, this is also true.

Lower level income neighborhoods have more vacant buildings (where homeless may seek shelter and burn materials for heat), declining neighborhood values (surroundings don't encourage maintenance or development), and higher crime rates (leading to residents blocking or locking escape routes). Older, poor quality housing stock has dated heating and electrical systems, occupants may use unsafe heating alternatives, possess older furniture with less flame-retardant materials, and are less likely to have operational smoke detectors or fire protection devices.

There is also correlation among non-owner-occupied housing (rental units) and greater incidence of fire, although there is speculation as to the reasons (Munson 1983). Owner-occupants, out of a sense of value, may invest more in upgrades or maintenance and exercise more caution in daily living in their own space.

For the rural poor, there is typically a lower level of fire protection services available [FEMA, USFA, 1997. P. 25], which may be due to both the affordability of services and the fact that homes are more remotely spaced, further away from services and/or more difficult to find.

Aside from income, education is highly correlated with fire. The FEMA study states that low literacy rates may impact comprehension of instructions and warnings for safe use of household items and appliances increasing

potential risk of fire [FEMA, USFA, 1997. P. 23]. As stated earlier, there is also a relationship between education and income.

Smoking is the leading cause of fire deaths and injuries [FEMA, USFA, 1997. P. 22]. Smoking is more prevalent in households with lower education and income. Drug and alcohol abuse is tied to the issue of smoking, since careless behavior (while smoking) is increased when intoxicated or tired [FEMA, USFA, 1997. P. 22-23]. It is not known, at present, if there is a higher incidence of children who "play" with fire that live in homes with smokers.

Family structure, or presence of parents, also has an impact on incidence of fire. In single parent households, children tend to be left alone more often (especially in urban areas). There are also increased risks of fire when parents are home, but not actively supervising children. The risks of juvenile fires are slightly greater in low-income housing where appropriate supervision is unaffordable or inaccessible, but all households with children need be aware of the danger and take precaution through awareness and prevention.

Maine Socioeconomic Analysis

Some socioeconomic variables cannot be studied for Maine in the juvenile fire incidence dataset available through MEFIRS since the software fields are often not populated. This information is virtually non-existent in MEFIRS to date, but could potentially be helpful in determining trends or at-risk populations (on average 99% of all incidents with a juvenile arson module from 2004-early 2008 had no entry for age ethnicity, race, gender or family). Through the U.S. Census Bureau, profiles are available for the communities who are using the MEFIRS. Although the data is not available for individual incidents, census data was collected for those municipalities that reported 20 or more incidents within the timeframe studied, as well as socioeconomic data by county. Charts of the findings are available in **Attachment 3 – Socioeconomic Decennial Census Data** for Towns Reporting 20+ Incidents from 2004-early 2008 & Socioeconomic Data by Maine Counties.

The analysis of the socioeconomic data for the towns reporting more fire incidents with juvenile involvement did not yield striking correlations, but may have raised some questions for the future. For the purposes of this study, towns and counties were compared (to each other, state and national averages) across population count, age of population, race, average family size, owner or rental occupied housing units, median home values, education level, percent that speak a language other than English, percent in labor force, median household and family incomes, individuals and families living beneath poverty.

Population

Municipalities with the highest population were previously listed in this report on page 8. There does appear to be some correlation among higher populated areas with higher reporting of incidents. There is some similarity, although not as highly correlated among the counties with higher population and number of reported incidents. Generally, the highest populated counties rank at the top of the list in terms of reporting, and the lowest populated at the bottom of the list; there is some fluctuation in the middle (in comparison of total population rank to rank of reported incident totals).

Age

In terms of age, 7 of the 18 towns reporting high numbers of incidents are above Maine average for percent of population under the age of 18 - Maine's average is 23.6% of the total population is under 18. Only 4 towns were above the national average of 25.7%. However, the top 9 towns (in terms of reported incidents), were lower than Maine's average in terms of youth population. It is unknown, at time of this report, whether

temporary residents were counted -- e.g. college students, out-of-state residents, migrant workers etc. The population under 18 at the county level follows nearly the same ranking (of counties) for total population, so it is difficult to tell whether overall population or the population under age of 18 may be more closely linked to numbers of reported incidents.

Race

In terms of race, Maine is homogenous with an average of its population 96.9% Caucasian when compared to a national average Caucasian population around 75%. The counties don't deviate far from the Maine state average in terms of racial diversity. Washington has the highest level of diversity since 93.5% of its population defines itself as Caucasian. Cumberland (95.7%), Sagadahoc (96.5%), Penobscot (96.6%) and Aroostook (96.8%) follow in terms of racial "diversity." Somerset has the least diversity since 99.1% of its population defines itself as Caucasian. Half of the towns listed (as top reporters of incidents) are slightly more diverse than the Maine average.

Family Size

There is very little deviation from the Maine state average family size of 2.9 (national average is 3.14) among either the towns or counties studied.

Home Occupancy & Ownership

The state average for owner occupied housing units is 71.6%. Hancock county has the largest percentage of owner occupied housing at 83%, and lowest number of rental units at 17% of total housing units. Androscoggin has the least number of owner-occupied housing units at 63% and the highest number of rental units at 36% of its total housing units. Of interest is a measure, per county, of owner-occupied homes that are single family since, by deduction, multi-family owner-occupied units can also be counted. Of Hancock's total housing units (of which 83% are owner occupied), only 65% are single family homes, which means that although 83% of the total housing stock is owner occupied, up to 45% may be multi-family dwellings.

In the state data, there is a range of the percentage per county of single family owner occupied home. Within the percentage of owner-occupied units, 25 to nearly 50% of these units may be multi-family units. Cumberland, Androscoggin and Penobscot counties have higher percentages of rental units (non owner occupied), and also higher reported levels of incidents, though this may be coincidental. Research does indicate homeownership and income are related, and are correlated to fire incidents. Somerset, Waldo, Franklin, Oxford and Lincoln counties have higher percentages of owner occupied, non-single family homes.

When looking at homeownership among the towns that report higher numbers of incidents, the number of rental units stood out since 12 (out of 18) of towns reporting high numbers of juvenile fires has a higher number of rental units than the Maine average of 28.4%. Many of these towns were nearly double this figure in terms of how many housing units were renter (rather than owner) occupied.

Home Values

The national median household value is \$119,600, Maine's average is \$98,700 (with much variation among counties and/or towns). Cumberland county has the top median household value at \$131,200. Six counties, in all 16, have household values greater than \$100,000. One, Waldo, is just below at about \$90,000. Four Maine counties have averages around \$80,000, two at \$70,000 and three counties (Washington, Piscataquis and Aroostook) are near \$60,000 for median household value. So, 10 of Maine's 16 counties have median household

values below the Maine average and the discrepancy between high and low totals more than \$71,000 --- which is higher than the lowest median value! Only 7 out of 18 towns had median home values greater than the Maine average of \$98,700. In terms of rank, the towns with the higher median household values did not correlate with the number of incidents or dollar loss (although dollar loss was not always available). It may be of use in future studies to look at value of property by structure fire incident counts (when more data is available) and neighborhood.

Education

For education rates, 7 (out of 18) towns were less than the Maine state average of 85.4% for completion of high school. Only 2 were below the national average of 80.4%. When it comes to completion of a bachelor's degree or higher, 11 towns were below the Maine average of 22.9% and 12 towns below the national average of 24.4%. In fact, 5 towns (of the top reporters) were at 15% or less for their total population who finished a "higher" degree.

In terms of percentage of county population that has graduated from high school, Cumberland ranks first with 90%. Aroostook ranks last with 77% of its population having finished high school. The state average is closer to 85%, national average at 80%.

The national median average for percent of population with a bachelor's degree or higher is 24%, Maine's average is 23%. The range in county percentage of population with a bachelor's degree or higher is 34.2% for Cumberland, mid to low 20th% for Sagadahoc through Waldo counties (according to the rank number of 2-7 in the above chart), dropping to 15.7% and below (all the way to just 11.8% for Somerset county) for Oxford through Somerset, rank 8-16. More than half of Maine's counties have a population percentage of less than 16% who hold higher degrees.

Language other than English at Home

Six towns (Lewiston, Auburn, Orono, Portland, Sanford and Presque Isle) all surpassed the state average of 7.8% for households that speak a language other than English. Only Lewiston (28.2%) surpassed the national average of 17.9%.

Labor Force

Looking at how much of the population is involved in the labor force, 13 of the towns were above the Maine average of 65.3% of total population. Eight were above the national average of 63.9%. In terms of rank of counties for labor force, there does not appear to be a correlation among percentage in labor force and number of incidents reported. Only four counties have a higher than state average of population in the labor force; the lowest level was Washington county at 57% of its population in the labor force and the highest percentage belonged to Cumberland at 69%.

Income

Median household income averages \$37,240 for the state and \$41,994 for the US. Twelve of the towns were below (six above) the state average. Only 7 of the towns were above the average median family income for Maine at \$45,179 (11 fell below this average), and 5 were above the national average median family income of \$50,046. In terms of rank order in either family or household income, there was no correlation among the town average income and number of reported incidents. This may also be a field where data by incidents or neighborhood could provide information.

The counties with the highest average range of family income are Cumberland and York, both in the low to mid \$50,000, which is also near the national average. Maine's average family income is closer to \$45,000, but most counties fall well short of this amount. Those counties ranked 3-10 in family income (out of the 16) have an average family income between \$40,000 and \$49,000. Counties ranked 11-16 -- Oxford, Franklin, Somerset, Aroostook, Piscataquis and Washington have average family incomes under \$40,000 (with the lowest average in Washington county at \$31,657).

Poverty

There are several datamarkers to gauge poverty. In this study, numbers of individuals and families living below poverty were recorded, and then translated to percentages of total population (by town, and county). First, is the measure of numbers of individuals and families. With the number one rank assigned to the county with the largest numbers of families or individuals living below poverty, Penobscot county has the most families (3712) and Cumberland county the most individuals (20, 352) defined as living beneath poverty level. These two counties swap places for second ranked, Cumberland county having the second highest ranked number of families in poverty (3527) and Penobscot having the second highest ranked number of individuals in poverty (18,956). Cumberland ranks first in overall population and population under the age of 18; Penobscot ranks third for overall population and those under 18. Generally speaking, the ranks of numbers of individuals or families living beneath poverty follows the ranks of overall population, but Washington county has a slightly higher rank in poverty than population and Hancock county has a slightly lower rank of poverty than population (when compared to other counties' numbers; not in relation to percentage of population within a specific county).

Next, is the measure of percentage of population the numbers represent. On a national basis, between 12.4 and 13.3% of the nation's individuals live below poverty; in Maine the number is slightly lower at 10.9%. The national average for families living below poverty is 9.2%; in Maine, the state average is 7.8% of families. Most of this data is based on year 2000 census numbers and dollar amounts at 1996 inflation adjusted levels. Some of the poverty and income data is from the American Community Survey in 2006. Poverty dollar values are measured by thresholds for family size and composition. Charts and further description can be found pages 69-72 in the "American Community Service 2006 Subject Definitions" at

http://www.census.gov/acs/www/Downloads/2006/usedata/Subject_Definitions.pdf.

Washington (19%), Somerset (14.9%), Piscataquis (14.8%), Franklin (14.6%) and Aroostook (14.3%) counties rank highest in the percentage of total population representing individuals living beneath poverty. Only six of Maine's 16 counties are below the state average of 10.9% of individuals living beneath poverty, with Cumberland at the lowest level of its population around 7.9%.

Washington (14.2%), Piscataquis (11%), Somerset (11%), Waldo (10%) and Franklin (10%) counties have the highest average per county population of families living beneath the poverty level. Again, the Maine average statewide is 7.8% for families in poverty. Only seven of Maine's 16 counties are below the state average, with Cumberland and York at the lowest levels of around 5% of their total populations.

In measuring poverty levels, 12 of the 18 towns reporting higher incident numbers had a greater percentage than the Maine average of 7.8% for the number of families living below the poverty level. Eleven of the towns had more individuals living below poverty than the Maine average of 10.9%. There appears to be a possible, general correlation, although not in rank order, of poverty levels and number of incidents.

Maine Dollar Loss

For all juvenile fire incidents 2004-early 2008, dollar loss and values (for property and other materials) was assigned to less than a quarter of all reports. The range is 5% of all incidents for Washington county's reports had financial data to 45% of Cumberland's reports had financial data. Therefore, the data in the next table is only a rough estimate of financial loss and saved property (since property value was assigned even less often than loss). Not enough financial data is present to make informed analysis by county lines. This information is divided by county, but a complete tally of loss and value by town (still separated by county) is available in **Attachment 4 – Juvenile Fire Incident Dollar Loss and Value 2004-early 2008**.

For the towns that reported financial loss (for all incidents that had financial data):

- 4 < \$500
- 4 < \$5000,
- 12 \$19,000<>50k,
- 4 \$51k<>100k,
- 11 \$100k<>200k,
- 8 \$200K,<>400K,
- 7 \$400k<>600k,
- 5 \$700k<>\$1M,
- 3 >\$1M
- 2 >\$2M,
- 1 >\$8M total loss

The following towns provided no financial data: Amity, Arundel, Belfast, Bingham, Birch Harbor, Camden, Cary, Charleston, Chester, Codyville, Concord, Corea, Danforth, Enfield, Fairfield, Farmingdale, Fort Fairfield, Friendship, Gardiner, Gouldsboro, Gray, Greenbush, Greenfield, Grindstone, Hanover, Houlton, Howland, Hudson, Jefferson, Kennebunk, Kittery, Kittery Point, Littleton, Mayfield, Medway, Milford, Montville, Morrill, Moscow, New Limerick, New Vineyard, North Waterboro, Northeast Harbor Orient, Orland, Parsonsfield, Pejepscot Village, Perham, Peru, Porter, Pownal, Prospect Harbor, Sabattus, Searsmont, Sedgwick, Sidney, Stockton Springs, Swanville, T16 R4, Topsfield, Turner, TWP 32, Union, Washburn, West Gouldsboro, Weston, Winterport, Woodstock, Yarmouth.

Figure 6 – Dollar Loss & Value for Juvenile Fire Incidents by Maine County

Reported Dollar Loss & Values by Maine County

for Fire Incidents with Juvenile Involvement 2004-early 2008

	County	County	County			loss	<u>% saved</u> <u>of</u>		# of Juv	# of Inc with \$	% of Inc with \$ loss
County Value	Value	Loss	Loss	County Loss	County Total	<u>/value =</u>	reported	•	Inc	Loss	total
Property	Other	Property	Other	lotal	Value	<u>%IOSS</u>	tigures	County	Reported	lotal	data
13458968	4344176	7950439	3207377	11157816	17803144	63%	37%	Penobscot	350	119	34%
28283492	5794150	3517850	1388812	4906662	34077642	14%	86%	Cumberland	205	92	45%
14844460	1536000	2393910	673575	3067485	16380460	19%	81%	Androscoggin	141	61	43%
1389500	440700	1967000	666500	2633500	1830200	144%		Sagadahoc	34	10	29%
7435055	1136500	1924565	649100	2573665	8571555	30%	70%	York	117	43	37%
9205100	2567100	1696000	449600	2145600	11772200	18%	82%	Knox	145	39	27%
937800	277000	436400	252000	688400	1214800	57%	43%	Aroostook	61	13	21%
555000	190000	279000	126200	405200	745000	54%	46%	Somerset	27	9	33%
696675	94550	251500	52000	303500	791225	38%	62%	Kennebec	57	21	37%
1105500	86700	198400	28700	227100	1192200	19%	81%	Hancock	71	10	14%
17500	200300	3500	200300	203800	217800	94%	6%	Franklin	17	3	18%
350000	0	125000	0	125000	350000	36%	64%	Lincoln	16	1	6%
270200	40400	61200	26400	87600	310600	28%	72%	Waldo	55	5	9%
107437	20000	30000	10000	40000	127437	31%	69%	Oxford	24	2	8%
200	0	30200	5000	35200	200	17600%		Washington	44	2	5%
								Piscataquis			
					\$						
				\$28,600,528.00	95,384,463.00	30%			1364		

Maine Towns

Total Dollar Loss over \$250,000

for Fire Incidents with Juvenile Involvement 2004-early 2008

(where data was available, by rank order of largest to smallest)

	# of Juv Inc	# of Inc with \$ Loss	% of Inc with \$ loss total	Value						
Town/City	Reported	Total	data	Property	Value Other	Loss Property	Loss Other	Loss Total	Total Value	County
Bangor	138	44	32%	6799500	2651945	5831350	2617945	\$8,449,295	9451445	Penobscot
Westbrook	100	51	51%	18089867	4794550	1818475	1028900	\$2,847,375	22884417	Cumberland
Lewiston	70	30	43%	2080560	292300	1697310	415075	\$2,112,385	2372860	Androscoggin
Topsham	32	9	28%	1389500	440700	967000	416500	\$1,383,500	1830200	Sagadahoc
Bath	1	1	100%			1000000	250000	\$1,250,000		Sagadahoc
Rockport	20	15	75%	4250400	2081100	791500	281600	\$1,073,100	6331500	Knox
Auburn	57	31	54%	12763900	1243700	696600	258500	\$955,100	14007600	Androscoggin
Old Town	40	16	40%	2425510	416750	864779	61750	\$926,529	2842260	Penobscot
Scarborough	5	4	80%	5702200	15000	826500	1500	\$828,000	5717200	Cumberland
South Berwick	23	9	39%	4168075	322200	480500	222500	\$703,000	4490275	York
East Waterboro	3	1	33%	500000	100000	500000	100000	\$600,000	600000	York
Corinth	18	12	67%	1558250	513501	326250	205701	\$531,951	2071751	Penobscot
Sanford	26	16	62%	1383565	352750	388765	106750	\$495,515	1736315	York
Cumberland	17	9	53%	1581200	556100	248800	191300	\$440,100	2137300	Cumberland
Carmel	16	5	31%	455500	57000	356000	56750	\$412,750	512500	Penobscot
Bridgewater	7	5	71%	231000	245000	166000	245000	\$411,000	476000	Aroostook
Rockland	54	9	17%	3940200	40000	391000	10000	\$401,000	3980200	Knox
Springvale	5	4	80%	473000	226450	233000	166450	\$399,450	699450	York
Orono	35	27	77%	990600	362830	219901	178231	\$398,132	1353430	Penobscot
New Gloucester	24	7	29%	327000	68200	220000	64200	\$284,200	395200	Cumberland
Presque Isle	23	8	35%	706000	30000	270400	7000	\$277,400	736000	Aroostook
Warren	22	4	18%	225000	50000	225000	50000	\$275,000	275000	Knox
Wells	11	3	27%	260500	35100	235500	25100	\$260,600	295600	York

Maine Casualties Resulting from Juvenile Fires

As stated at the beginning of this report, Maine reported 1364 fire incidents with juvenile involvement resulting in more than \$28,600,528 in total loss, 7 civilian deaths, 48 civilian injuries and 18 fire service injuries during 2004 to early 2008 [MEFIRS incidents with juvenile arson module record]. These numbers may be small since casualties are more often recorded in a separate emergency management response data system.

Richard Taylor, Senior Research and Planning Analyst, Maine State Fire Marshal's Office, Maine Department of Public Safety, collects data on injuries and fatalities for all fire incidents in the state. His studies show that from 2000-2007, there were 6 juveniles killed in juvenile fires, 18 juveniles killed in all fires. Looking at 2003-2007, which is close to the time period studied in the MEFIRS report, Taylor tallied 8 juvenile deaths in all fires, 4 in juvenile fires.

Joseph. E. Thomas, Assistant State Fire Marshall, State of Maine, has data from 2003-summer of 2008 that shows 11 fatalities, 64 civilian injuries and 27 fire service injuries.

Reporting of Juvenile Fire Incidents Using MEFIRS

Since reporting of fire incidents was not mandated by the state of Maine until 2007, there is great likelihood that the incidence of fire with juvenile involvement is under-reported. The degree, to which the issue is represented, can only be speculated. Please see **Attachment 5a Maine Statute – Fire Reporting**.

The number of fire departments in Maine is 329 according to the U.S. Fire Department census as filed with the U.S. Fire Administration. Those that provided some report, total 217 (for all fire incidents, not just juvenile), which represents about 66% [those fire departments that provided at least one or more MEFIRS reports 2003-2006].

Far less departments have input a MEFIRS reports with a juvenile arson module record from 2004 through 2007, averaging near 55 departments annually submitting reports for juvenile fires. This represents approximately 17% of the total fire departments who may be actively using the MEFIRS juvenile arson module.

Whether this is underreporting or an actual representation of juvenile fire incidents is unknown, but given the total number of incidents recorded, some of the dataset (e.g. property type, seasonality, time of day, area of origin, heat source) is thought to be scientifically representative of the issue.

Reporting of Juvenile Arson Module Records						
Year	# of Fire Departments	# of Locations				
2004	66	86				
2005	61	76				
2006	59	63				
2007	36	41				
2008 (early)	7	8				

Figure 8 – Reporting Locales by Year for Juvenile Fires

For example, according to the chart above, in 2004, 66 fire departments reported juvenile fires in 86 locations. For a complete list of which towns reported in specific years, please see **Attachment 5b – Locations of Fire Incident Reports by Year**.

It's also useful to look at the number of incidents per year by county with the number of incident locations (municipalities) reporting. For instance, Penobscot county has about 86 zip codes

(http://maine.hometownlocator.com/ZIP-Codes/countyZIPS,scfips,23019,c,Penobscot.cfm and 204 "populated places," although many of these are not exact geographic locations (some municipalities have multiple zip codes or may have very small populations). Since the majority of the data was separated out by zip code of the fire incident location, this is also a good way to measure reporting. Of these 86 zip codes, only 21 locations reported juvenile fire incidents over the four plus years studied. The number is less for other counties.

Figure 9 – Reporting Trends for Juvenile Fire Incidents by Maine County

County	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	TOTAL 2004- early2008	# of Towns with Juvenile Fire Incidents Reporting
Penobscot	88	103	90	64	6	350	21
Cumberland	51	46	49	44	15	205	13
Knox	50	46	36	13	0	145	10
Androscoggin	21	49	48	23	0	141	4
York	29	34	27	22	5	117	16
Hancock	12	28	28	3	0	71	10
Aroostook	36	10	15	0	0	61	12
Kennebec	22	13	5	17	0	57	7
Waldo	27	23	4	1	0	55	9
Washington	8	9	15	12	0	44	7
Sagadahoc	8	7	7	12	0	34	3
Somerset	11	8	5	3	0	27	9
Oxford	14	10	0	0	0	24	4
Franklin	3	5	5	4	0	17	3
Lincoln	7	8	1	0	0	16	2
Piscataquis							

Number of Juvenile Fires Reported (Total =1364)

Since the majority of the data presented over this time period was done on a voluntary basis, the level of detail and/or accuracy is sometimes in questions as well, since often only the "required" fields, or the basic module of the MEFIRS report is populated with data. This is most evident in the "socioeconomic data" at the individual or persons involved level of reporting for age, gender, family type, ethnicity, race, property owner, human factors contributing to ignition and suspected motivation factors.

MEFIRS Data Specific to Juvenile Fire Incidents

For the purposes of this study, the Maine MEFIRS data from 2004 to early 2008 was totaled and then dissected to look at ignition cause, seasonality, time of day, property type and use, area of origin, heat source, material first ignited (including type and form) and detection.

Ignition Cause

When tallying ignition cause, data records were available for 1364 incidents. Unintentional cause counted for more than half of the fire incidents with a juvenile arson module record. Is this a curious entry for arson, or a case where individual software users are separating fire "play" and firesetting? Only 12% were considered intentional, but 31% were under investigation or undetermined at time of report log. It would be interesting to know if additional follow-through found more incidents were caused with intent to burn or by some other factor (curiosity, inebriation, etc.).

Ignition Cause Fires : Juver	nile Arson	
Intentional, Misuse	163	12%
Under Investigation	197	15%
Undetermined	213	16%
Unintentional	730	54%
Blank	61	5%
	1364	102%

Ignition Cause : Fires with Juvenile Arson Module 2004- First Quarter of 2008



Figure 10 – Ignition Cause

Seasonality and Time of Day for Juvenile Fire Incidents in Maine 2004-early 2008

There does not appear to be much seasonal pattern over the period of time studied for juvenile fire incidents in Maine (whether indoor or outdoor fires). There was enough data to look at 1300 out of 1364 incidents. There is a slight uptick in the number of annual outdoor fires (with juvenile involvement) in April through June.

Figure 11 – Seasonality of Indoor & Outdoor Juvenile Fires

TOTAL Fires with Juveniles Involved (Indoor & Outdoor)

	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	Total
Jan-Mar	99	95	79	48	26	347
Apr-Jun	90	89	95	52		326
Jul-Sep	94	84	66	61		305
Oct-Dec	82	108	66	66		322
Totals	365	376	306	227		



Figure 12 – Seasonality of Outdoor Juvenile Fires

Outdoor Fires	with Juveni	les Involve	ed			
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	Total
Jan-Mar	34	30	34	16	6	120
Apr-Jun	50	53	54	22		179
Jul-Sep	56	46	26	20		148
Oct-Dec	40	47	19	19		125
Totals	180	176	133	77	6	572



For the time of day in which the fires with juveniles involved occurred (2004-early 2008), nearly 70% occurred after 10 a.m. and before 10 p.m. Approximately 26% occurred between 2 p.m.-6p.m.

Figure 13 – Time o	f Day for Maine	Juvenile Fire Incidents	
--------------------	-----------------	--------------------------------	--

12am-2am	80	6%
2am-4am	53	4%
4am-6am	39	3%
6am-8am	72	5%
8am-10am	102	7%
10am-noon	159	12%
12pm-2pm	152	11%
2pm-4pm	180	13%
4pm-6pm	169	12%
6pm-8pm	144	11%
8pm-10pm	121	9%
10pm-		
midnight	90	7%
	1361	100%



Incident Type and Property Use

More than 45% of the fires with juveniles involved were building fires. Of all juvenile fires, 1 or 2 family dwellings and multi-family dwellings accounted for 40% of incidents (93% of the building fires). Passenger vehicles in parking areas or residential streets were the second ranked incident type at 25% of all juvenile fire incidents. For the incident and property types that occurred in less than a total of 20 incidents, please see **Attachment 6 – Incident Types and Property Use Counts for Juvenile Fire Incidents 2004-early 2008**.

Figure 14 – Maine Juvenile Fire Incident Types

Incident Type Definition	# of juv inc 04- 08	% of 1364 total juv inc 04-08
building fire (excludes confined fires)	616	45.16%
passenger vehicle	355	26.03%
brush	36	2.64%
structure other than bldg	34	2.49%
cooking fire	32	2.35%
mobile prop - other	32	2.35%
mobile home/fixed res	24	1.76%
outside equipment	24	1.76%
conversion code	23	1.69%
off-road veh	22	1.61%

Property Use Defined	# of Juvenile Fires 2004- early 2008	Percentage of Total Juvenile Fires
1	4.40	22.000/
for 2 family dwelling, fixed	449	32.99%
multi-family dwelling	125	9.16%
residential street	120	8.80%
vehicle parking area	98	7.18%
open land, grass	55	4.03%
highway	53	3.89%
street, other	32	2.35%
manufacturing processing	31	2.27%
outbuilding or shed	31	2.27%
street, commercial	21	1.54%
residential, other	18	1.32%
Blank	17	1.03%
cultivated landscapes	15	1.10%
parking garage, detached resid gar	15	1.10%
restaurant, cafeteria (carryout, d-thru)	13	0.95%
outside, special property, other	12	0.88%
vehicle storage, other	11	0.81%
car/boat sales	10	0.73%
food, bev sales (grocery)	10	0.73%
outbuilding, mailbox, telephone booth, weather shelters	10	0.73%
property use, other	10	0.73%

Since nearly half of the juvenile fire incidents were building fires, there was an attempt to determine if the owner was on-site or involved at the time of incident. Less than 300 incidents had entries for persons involved. Of these 300, the individual listed as the owner was also listed as a person involved in the incident (with at least one other person) in 100 incidents. For 83 incidents, the owner was the only person listed (was a juvenile involved and not recorded? It would certainly be rare, except perhaps in the case of vehicle fires, that a juvenile would own property.). For 30 incidents, the owner was NOT listed as a person involved in the incident (and 57 entries had no owner listed at all). There is too little data at this time to determine a correlation among ownership of property and whether owner is likely to be involved in a juvenile fire incident.

MEFIRS measures type of ownership (for the juvenile arson properties) as the following:

Figure 16 – Type of Property Ownership



Area of Origin

Area of origin is where the fire started or originated in a given incident. For the 1364 incidents, nearly half were building fires; one quarter was vehicle fires. The areas of origin varied; however, over 200 records were blank or had the entry choice "undetermined" for area of origin (number three and four ranked, respectively for area of origin). For a complete list, please see **Attachment 7 – Juvenile Fire Incident Area of Origin 2004 – early 2008**.

Figure 17 – Area of Origin

	# of JFS inc	% of 1364
Area of Origin Definition	2004-2008	incidents
engine area	221	16.2%
kitchen	127	9.3%
undetermined	127	9.3%
blank (no entry)	82	6.0%
bedroom <5	59	4.3%
op, passenger area transportation equip	54	4.0%
vehicle storage	43	3.2%
vehicle, other	43	3.2%
common room	41	3.0%
outside areas, other	37	2.7%
substructure, crawl space	29	2.1%
wall surface	28	2.1%
area of origin, other	27	2.0%
storage areas, other	27	2.0%
bathroom, locker, portable toilet	24	1.8%
laundry	24	1.8%
open area	24	1.8%
cargo	23	1.7%
exterior surface	20	1.5%

Heat Source for Juvenile Fire Incidents

In the MEFIRS data of 1364 juvenile incidents, it is largely undetermined what was used to start a fire. Over one third of entries had an entry of an "undetermined" heat source, and another 5% of the records were blank (no entry). It's somewhat surprising that lighters, matches and smoking materials don't rank higher in the list. For a complete list of heat sources, please see **Attachment 8 – Heat Sources Reported Maine Juvenile Fires 2004-early 2008**.

Figure 18 – Heat Source

	# of JFS inc	% of 1364
Heat Source Definition	04-08	records
undetermined	462	34%
radiated heat op equip	149	11%
heat from op equip, other	93	7%
electrical arc	74	5%
spark op equip	71	5%
hot ember, ash	67	5%
blank (no entry)	63	5%
hot smoldering, other	62	5%
heat sources, other	55	4%
heat from smoking material, open flame, other	39	3%
lighter	37	3%
cigarette	34	2%
candle	32	2%
match	24	2%
flame, torch, lantern	18	1%
undet smoking material	13	1%
incendiary device (flame thrower, torch)	11	1%
molten, hot material	10	1%
multiple heat sources, mult ignition	10	1%

Form and Type of Material First Ignited

The data for the form and type of material first ignited is similar to heat source in that over a third of the data has an "undetermined" or blank entry. The top-ranked material forms (after undetermined and blank) for items first ignited are electrical wire, structural member, cooking materials, flammable liquid from engine, multiple or other items and rubbish. The top types (after undetermined and blank) of material burned include, wood, fabric, plastic, gasoline, multiple items, paper and cooking oils, but the items are widely varied and not representative of large percentages of incidents. For the complete list, please see **Attachment 9 – Materials First Ignited n Maine Juvenile Fire Incidents 2004-early 2008**.

Figure 19 – Form Material Ignited

		% of 1364
Form Material First Ignited	# of JFS Inc 04-08	incidents
undetermined	371	27%
blank (no entry)	83	6%
elec wire, cable insulation	78	6%
structural member	59	4%
cooking materials	54	4%
flammable liquid from engine	54	4%
multiple items	50	4%
other	51	4%
rubbish	49	4%
exterior sidewall	42	3%
upholstered chair, veh seats	40	3%
interior wall covering	26	2%
flamm liq/gas uncontained, accelerants	23	2%
magazine, newspaper, files	22	2%
box, waste recept	22	2%
light vegetation	22	2%

Figure 20 – Ignited Material Type Definition

Material Type Defined – First Ignited	# Juv Inc 04-08	% of total
undetermined	346	25.37%
blanks	235	17.23%
sawn wood	116	8.50%
fabric	97	7.11%
plastic	65	4.77%
gasoline	53	3.89%
multiple types of material	50	3.67%
paper	36	2.64%
cooking, transf, lubricating oil	36	2.64%
type of material first ignited (general		
heading)	36	2.64%
wood, paper, processed, other	33	2.42%
fiberboard	31	2.27%
cardboard	27	1.98%
flammable, comb liquid, other	25	1.83%
flammable gas, other	17	1.25%
hay, straw	17	1.25%

Detection

As stated earlier, nearly half of all the reported Maine juvenile fire incidents were building fires. In the confined fires and structure fires, how many had working smoke alarms?

In the confined fires, it is unknown (or blank entry) whether there was a detector of any kind or if it alerted anyone in nearly 70% of incidents. In just 12% of incidents, it was reported that a detector did alert someone to the fire. In 15% a detector did NOT alert someone of a fire.

In the structural fires, there is the opportunity for the collection of more data pertaining to detection. A detector was present in nearly 55% of all structure fires, operated in 28% of the incidents and actually alerted occupants who responded 21% of the time. Again, the majority of the data collected in this area has "unknown," "undetermined," or no entry recorded.

For the complete detection data, please see Attachment 10- Detection in Confined and Structure Fires with Juveniles Involved, 2004-early 2008.

Individual Motivations and Other Determinants of Firesetting Behavior

Since individual data in MEFIRS (profiling persons involved in juvenile fires) was largely missing from the recordset, a review of national studies was completed to provide a possible profile for firesetting behavior in Maine. More state data on individual behavior is recorded in the Maine NASFM intervention database, but this information tracks only juveniles who have been referred to screening (not necessarily as a result of an emergency response to a fire incident). The NASFM Maine data follows the brief summary of national firesetting behavior studies.

A Colorado study of juvenile firesetters found several commonalities, as potential predictors of firesetting behavior, at the individual level:

- high level of curiosity about fire,
- prior firesetting behavior,
- stayed and watched set fire,
- set fire in structure,
- poor relationships with mother and/or siblings,
- non-compliant and argumentative behavior,
- lying,
- too little or too much contact with father,
- chronic stress and/or crisis,
- diagnosed impulse control issues,
- other behavior problems in and out of school (shoplifting, violence, etc.) (Moynihan July 1998).

The Colorado study states, "In essence, the juvenile firesetter is especially curious about fire, tends to be impulsive, engages in various forms of delinquency (including firesetting), and has trouble getting along with his or her family." (Moynihan 1998, p.9)

The same study also indicated that children were more candid about their behavior patterns than their parents (Moynihan July 1998). Does this indicate parents are uncooperative with investigators (for liability or pride issues), ignorant of child's behavior or in denial?

A 2002 study in Oregon found similar patterns of individual behavior to the Colorado study, and also noted that **boys are eight times more likely than girls** to set fire [Juvenile Firesetter Intervention Program, Hot Issues, Summer 2003, Oregon Office of State Fire Marshall]. The Oregon study of juvenile fire setters found that the highest level of incidents occurred with children between **the ages of eight and fourteen**. There was no correlation among family structure and incidence of fire, but there were **issues with family relationships**.

In a focus group of nearly 50 parents/guardians at an youth firesetter intervention program, 71% surveyed responded their child had firesetting history, 78% fought with siblings, 56% argued with parents, 87% witnessed parents arguing, 40% had special education needs, 60% had experienced trauma, 50% had been bullied, 67% was in or had been in counseling and 8% had shown cruelty to animals. (Oregon Office of State Fire Marshall 2003)

In a British study, Damian Smith found that in a number of juvenile fire incidents, children "knowingly and intentionally" set fire. He states, "Whilst yesterday's child was taught to fear fire and was instructed in its proper use, the child of today will view the fire engine and its siren as something exciting - perhaps even heroic and glamorous. Many will have little, if any, comprehension of the potential damage created by fires or the impact upon those who will suffer as a consequence of that event." [Smith 2001 p.3]

Smith quotes another study done by Kenneth R Fineman, Associate Clinical Professor of Medical Psychology (1984) that "distinguishes between the normal child who sets fire out of 'curiosity' and the pathological 'firesetter.' It was the former group comprising 60% of the juveniles who set fires that could be effectively educated at the firehouse level by non-mental health practitioners. Fineman recognises that even the pathological juvenile firesetters may benefit from some educational intervention immediately after a fire episode, however this child: 'is in need of a professional mental health evaluation'." (Smith 2001)

The Juvenile Justice Bulletin (OJJDP, May 2005) presented a juvenile firesetting research overview that also summarized typologies based on co-occurring behaviors in firesetters: **aggression**, **antisocial behavior**, **delinquency and substance abuse**. According to several studies, the factors of **age**, **gender**, **marital status of parent or guardian**, **fire history and smoking** are all correlated to firesetting behavior. This review separates psychological typologies into four subtypes of firesetter:

- curious (fascination),
- pathological (dysfunction),
- expressive (communicating for help) and
- delinquent (antisocial or destructive revenge).

The final report for NASFM's Juvenile Firesetter Intervention Research Project found that firesetting behavior was as an interaction between: 1) dynamic historical factors that predispose the firesetter toward a variety of maladaptive and antisocial acts; 2) historical environmental factors that have taught and reinforced firesetting as acceptable; and 3) immediate environmental contingencies that encourage the firesetting behavior. (NASFM 2001 p.9)

The NASFM final report also documented the following household or family factors that correlated with firesetting behaviors (NASFM 2001 p.11):

Poor supervision and a lax child-care environment; Inappropriate exposure to fire at an early age; Parental uninvolvement (often including abuse and neglect); Involvement in a dysfunctional family.

For the children involved in "fireplay," where age, no comprehension of danger and curiosity are the primary motivators, household concern and awareness play a major role in determining risk. The Fireproof Children Company (<u>www.fireproofchildren.com</u>), an educational resource, states that many of the homes where juvenile fires occurred (from fireplay) had no explicit rules against fireplay. Ignition sources were readily accessible even in homes of children at young ages (preschool and younger who have no cause/effect cognition).

Maine NASFM Firesetter Intervention Project Data

Maine's NASFM (or the Juvenile Firesetter Intervention Project) data, although a smaller number set than that of juvenile incidents, is helpful in providing more details about individual's firesetting behavior and characteristics where the incident data is currently lacking specific details. There is no easy way to track whether these individuals have also been involved in reported fire incidents since the two systems are not currently linked (and in most cases, a referral was not made from a fire incident), individual names have been removed and report summaries of NASFM only provide total counts over time, not information specific to an incident identification, if one even existed.

Approximately 220 children have been screened for fireplay or firesetting behaviors through the NASFM project in Maine from 2004 to early 2008. Of these, nearly 80% fall between the ages of 8 and 15 years of age; 95% are male. In Maine, 98.6% of the children served speak English, although fractions of percent speak Russian, Spanish and French. A little over half have demonstrated previous fireplay behavior, and nearly 90% report having started a fire.

About one third of these children are not yet involved with social service agencies, but 18% are in the juvenile justice system, 25% served by mental health programs and 20% involved in social services.

The predominant place of firesetting behavior incident (with or without an emergent response) was inside a singlefamily home (20%), followed by home yard (17%), park/field/vacant lot (15%) and multi-family home (14.5%). Places designated as "other" (varied from friend's home to church or woods) accounted for nearly 21% of all locations, and vehicle only 1.8%.

In half of these cases, the child acted alone; in a quarter or more of the events, two or more children were involved. The cause of ignition in a little more than half these cases was intentional (54%). This is much higher than the MEFIRS data showing 12% of incidents were "intentional." However, the MEFIRS data could be misrepresentative due to the number of records marked "under investigation," "undetermined," or simply left blank.

Lighters and matches account for the top two-ranked heat sources (over 90% of events). This is different than the MEFIRS incident data, but perhaps the two would compare more closely if heat source was accurately identified (other than "undetermined") more often. In 62% of the NASFM reported events, the ignition/heat source was accessed or obtained from the child's own home. The items first ignited by children exhibiting firesetting behavior are paper and

grass/leaves (both total nearly 60% of events). This is also different than the MEFIRS incident data, but again could resemble more closely if data was available and more specific.

In half of the NASFM reports, a parent or caregiver was on site at the time of the firesetting behavior or event. More than one third of the time, the juvenile had no supervision. In less than 7% of the cases, a sitter or other person (usually a parent's friend or extended relative) was on site.

The Maine NASFM intervention project summary of reports found no fatalities and only 20 injuries as a result of reported events. In over 93% of the cases, there were no injuries. However in 7% of the cases, people were displaced from residence, places of business, assembly or school.

The dollar loss, according to Maine NASFM reports, was under \$100,00 in 82% of the cases, but had one case over \$1million dollars in damage, two under \$500,000 damage and one over \$100,000 (less than \$500k) damage.

The disposition of these juveniles ranges from referral to a youth firesetting intervention program/education (61%), referral to legal authority (29%), other (8%: includes counseling and/or other mental health services), or nothing (1%). More than 38% of the juveniles are referred as a result of a fire report, 28% because of parental concern, 19.5% due to law enforcement, 5% from mental health, 4% from school and 3.6% from others, which includes court or other screened juvenile firesetters.

Recommendations

As documented, Maine does have an issue with juvenile firesetting in terms of numbers of incidents, casualties and dollar loss. Even at 1364 fire incidents with juveniles, more than \$28,600,528 in total loss, 7 civilian deaths, 48 civilian injuries and 18 fire service injuries the problem is not small, but there is evidence the "real " numbers may be under-reported.

Individuals in the state have noted the issue and have been working on potential solutions for nearly three decades. It's been over a decade since a task force was assigned to discuss and push forward ideas for potential resolutions or treatment. During this grant period, there have been great strides toward developing and strengthening county collaboratives of interagency representation to work with juvenile firesetting issues. During the summer of 2008, the task force was recognized by Maine's governor. The initiative was signed into an executive order that sets specific guidelines for future progress (See **Attachment 11 – Juvenile Fire Safety Executive Order**).

There is still much work to be done. As noted in this report, the mandate for reporting fire incidents was enacted in 2007. Many departments do make use of the Maine Fire Incident Reporting System, but improvement is needed. There is great variance in how the reports are documented (which fields are filled out, and the choices selected). More accurate data would allow the state and county collaboratives to better grasp the extent of the issue, and to note patterns in the behavior or circumstance of Maine juveniles involved in fireplay or firesetting. This information would lead to a more efficient deployment of available state and county resources so local fire departments need not shoulder the burden of managing this issue alone.

The state has taken measures to make sure local departments have access to the MEFIRS data entry system (with mini grants for infrastructure, computer equipment), but some departments may not have accessed support or may be using freeware that impedes the data entry process. A few departments have access to vendor software that allows timelier input and upload of information. The state may investigate this potential for other communities.

Equally important to financial support, or perhaps more critical, is the necessary educational support for the use of the software. Given the amount of blank or undetermined entries, it is not clear that local departments understand the options presented, nor how this information could be used.

It would be helpful, in terms of measuring success, if there were a way to easily connect the data from the NASFM intervention project to the Maine incident data. There may be opportunity for tracking whether served individuals ceased fireplay or firesetting behavior.

While there is little doubt that the local departments are not adequately responding to juvenile fire incidents, there is some question regarding the juvenile's accountability or treatment. Is there any uniformity among local communities as to the disposition of these juveniles? How are they are treated within the juvenile justice system (for reprimand or restitution)? How often are the juveniles referred onto psychological examination and treatment? How many of them become better educated on the consequence of their actions? What is expected or the parents or guardians (and how can their denial or fear be overcome so treatment is rendered and successful)? How can the state ensure that these individuals and their families attend education or treatment services? Are schools working with fire departments? Are fire departments in communication with mental health care services?

Since there is some evidence that juvenile involvement with fire falls into two categories: fireplay (curiosity) and firesetting (malign, expression or intent), the treatments or solutions need to take these specific motivations into account when targeting the audience. Awareness campaigns, in the form of both fire prevention and safety, may be especially useful for parents and young children (preschool age). Currently, there is little uniformity among local fire departments for community outreach, although many Maine departments visit schoolchildren during Fire Prevention Week each October. There is currently no documentation of where in Maine this occurs, or of exactly what's included in outreach effort. From anecdotal input of firefighters on the task force, most of this effort, in communities where an outreach program exists, focuses on detectors, keeping children away from fire and fire-causing, dangerous items or appliances and development of fire escape plans. These education efforts are offered to preschools and young elementary students.

There may be several "missed opportunities" within this limited outreach effort. First, and probably most important, little is done to "reach" the parents – although handouts may be sent home reviewing topics of discussion and asking if the home has operating detectors and a fire escape plan.

Maine parents should be made aware that:

- a juvenile fire problem exists in Maine (sampling data),
- over half of all arson arrests involved juveniles,
- children age 1-5 (especially ages 1-3) are most vulnerable in terms of fire fatalities,
- fires and burns are one of the leading causes of accidental injury among children 14,
- fires set by children are more likely than any other household disaster to result in death (NASFM, 2001,)
- children may not cognitively be able to perceive the amount of danger fire presents (www.usa.safekids.org).

It is striking that research states most parents do not have explicit household rules about fireplay, and that hazardous materials are almost always readily accessible.

The second "missed opportunity," is that current educational efforts focus heavily on safety, but not prevention. There may need to be more study of curriculum to address this need. If children better understand the consequence and danger, it may lead to changes in behavior – less curiosity, more caution.

The third area for improvement of educational effort is with targeted age group. The predominant age group most at risk for involvement in fire, nationally and in Maine, is 8-14. There are currently no known statewide efforts specific to re-educating this group, who certainly have had exposure to information, but may be desensitized by media or a sense of "adolescent immortality." Other statewide public information campaigns have employed teen peer testimonials (e.g. driving under the influence of alcohol), which could be useful in reaching this group. Such effort could include: video imagery of destruction caused by fire, imagery of burn victims and testimonials detailing the reality of rehabilitation, and testimonials from reformed Maine teenagers who've paid restitution of some sort or have completed fire education. This would be most effective when paired with other health and personal safety curriculum.

Maine should continue to broaden its efforts in developing interagency involvement with this issue and its treatment. It is not a problem that can be solved by one discipline alone. It's important that parents, local fire departments, educators, juvenile justice or law enforcement and health providers understand and respect the issue, and that they be willing to communicate data and work together to find solutions. Education on the issue must be made available to these groups, and "ego," "turf," and "confidentiality" issues set aside in order to achieve real progress.

Works Cited

American Community Service 2006 Subject Definitions. www.census.gov/acs/www/Downloads/2006/usedata/Subject_Definitions.pdf.

Federal Emergency Management Agency. *Socioeconomic Factors and the Influence of Fire.* National Fire Data Center, United States Fire Administration, June 1997.

Gunther, Paul. "Fire Cause Patterns for Different Socioeconomic Neighborhoods in Toledo, OH." *Fire Journal* 75 (May 1981).

Jennings, Charles R. "Urban Residential Fires: An Empirical Analysis of Building Stock and Socioeconomic Characteristics for Memphis, TN." *Unpublished doctoral dissertation*. 1996.

Maine State Fire Marshall's Office. Juvenile Firesetter Intervention Data. format, NASFM. Augusta, Maine, 2004-2008.

Maine State Fire Marshall's Office. Maine Fire Incident Reporting System. Edited by NFIRS. Augusta, Maine, 2004-2008.

Maine State Fire Marshall's Office. *State of Maine Juvenile Fire Safety & Intervention Protocol*. Augusta: Maine Department of Public Safety, 2008.

Moynihan, Michael H., and Elise Flesher. "Colorado Juvenile Firesetter Research and Development of Child and Family Risk Surveys." University of Colorado, Boulder, July 1998.

Munson, Michael J. and Wallace E. Oates. "Community Characteristics and the Incidence of Fire: An Empirical Analysis." Edited by Chester Rapkin. *Social and Economic Consequence of Residential Fires* (D.C. Heath and Co.), 1983.

National Association of State Fire Marshalls (NASFM). "Final Report: Juvenile Firesetter Intervention Project." March 2001.

National Fire Incident Reporting System (NFIRS), U.S. Fire Administration, Federal Emergency Management Association, Department of Homeland Security. *NFIRS Summary Output Report Tools*.

National Fire Protection Association. "Fire and Life Safety Symposium." *reprinted in "Socioeconomic Factors and the Incidence of Fire".* Federal Emergency Management Agency, July, 1996.

Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Programs (OJJDP). "Juvenile Firesetting: A Research Overview." *OJJDP Juvenile Justice Bulletin* (U.S. Department of Justice), May 2005.

Oregon Office of State Fire Marshall. "Juvenile Firesetter Intervention Program." Hot Issues [Hot Topics], Summer 2003.

Schaenman, Philip, John R. Hall, Jr., Alfred H. Schainblatt, Joseph A. Swartz, and Michael J. Karter. "Procedures for Improving Measurement of Local Fire Protection." Boston: National Fire Protection Association, 1977.

Smith, Damian. Juvenile Firesetting -- An Appropriate Response. Buckinghamshire, UK: Buckinghamshire Fire & Rescue, 2001.

The Fireproof Children Company. www.fireproofchildren.com.



Attachment 1- Map of Maine Fires Ignited by Children 2004-2008
Taura	71		Country	2004	2005	2000	2007	2008	TOTAL 2004- early 2008	Percentage of Total
Iown		FDID	County	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2008	
Bangor	04401	J0030	Current and and	41	41	34	22		138	10%
Westbrook	04092	0260	Cumberland	19	16	29	25	11	100	7%
Lewiston	04240	A0160	Androscoggin	15	17	21	17		70	5%
Auburn	04210	A0010	Androscoggin		32	20	5		57	4%
Rockland	04841	G0210	Knox	23	19	12			54	4%
Old Town	04468	J0180	Penobscot	16	5	19			40	3%
Orono	04473	J3820	Penobscot	1	11	11	12		35	3%
Portland	04102	C0190	Cumberland	9	9	8	8		34	2%
Calais	04619	P0090	Washington	6	6	9	12		33	2%
Topsham	04086	L4740	Sagadahoc	7	7	6	12		32	2%
Bucksport	04416	E1570	Hancock		12	17			29	2%
Lincoln	04457	J3070	Penobscot	6	12	1	9		28	2%
Sanford	04073	R4290	York	3	9	7	4	3	26	2%
New Gloucester	04260	C3590	Cumberland		9	5	7	3	24	2%
Presque Isle	04769	B4100	Aroostook	15	3	5			23	2%
South Berwick	03098	R4470	York	6	5	6	5	1	23	2%
Warren	04864	G4960	Кпох	7	6	7	2		22	2%
Rockport	04856	G4200	Кпох	4	5	5	6		20	1%
Belfast	04915	N0050	Waldo	9	10				19	1%
Corinth	04427	J1910	Penobscot	3	6	9			18	1%
Cumberland	04021	C1970	Cumberland	10	7				17	1%
Thomaston	04861	G4710	Knox	7	7	3			17	1%
Waterville	04901	F0250	Kennebec	5	3	2	7		17	1%
Carmel	04419	J1760	Penobscot		4	3	7	2	16	1%
Winslow	04901	F5210	Kennebec	9	6				15	1%
Chelsea	04330	F1780	Kennebec	2	3		9		14	1%
Holden	04429	J2750	Penobscot	6	2	6			14	1%
Washington	04574	G4980	Кпох		4	5	4		13	1%
Greenbush	04418	J2540	Penobscot		6	3	3		12	1%
Hampden	04444	J2660	Penobscot				9	3	12	1%
Parsonsfield	04047	R5200	York	4	8		-		12	1%
Sabattus	04280	A5020	Androscoggin	6		6			12	1%
Peru	04290	13990	Oxford	4	7				11	1%
Wells	04090	R5050	York	1	1	4	4	1	11	1%

Attachment 2 – Reported Maine Fire Incidents with Juveniles Involved Sorted by Town (Largest Number of Incidents to Smallest Number Reported)

Fort Fairfield	04742	B2360	Aroostook			10		10	1%
Newcastle	04553	H3570	Lincoln	5	4	1		10	1%
Orland	04472	E3800	Hancock	2	3	5		10	1%
Sedgwick	04676	E4360	Hancock	4	1	3	2	10	1%
Farmington	04938	D2340	Franklin		5	3	1	9	1%
Saco	04072	R0230	York	4	3	2		9	1%
Yarmouth	04096	C5300	Cumberland	7	1	1		9	1%
Gouldsboro	04607	E2510	Hancock	1	5	2		8	1%
Jackman	04945	M6250	Somerset	3	4	1		8	1%
Northport	04849	N3730	Waldo	3	4	1		8	1%
Augusta	04330	F0020	Kennebec	3	1	3		7	1%
Bridgewater	04735	B1460	Aroostook	3	4			7	1%
Dedham	04429	E2050	Hancock	2	4	1		7	1%
Houlton	04730	B2780	Aroostook	7				7	1%
Kittery	03904	R2950	York	3	4			7	1%
Porter	04068	R5200	York	5	2			7	1%
Searsmont	04973	N4320	Waldo	7				7	1%
Swanville	04915	N0050	Waldo	2	5			7	1%
Chester	04457	J3070	Penobscot	3	2	1		6	0%
Gray	04039	C2530	Cumberland	5		1		6	0%
Норе	04847	G2770	Knox	1	3	2		6	0%
Jefferson	04348	H2870	Lincoln	2	4			6	0%
Rumford	04276	14240	Oxford	6				6	0%
Strong	04983	D4620	Franklin	1		2	3	 6	0%
Washburn	04786	B4970	Aroostook	4	2			 6	0%
Woodstock	04219	15270	Oxford	3	3			 6	0%
Charleston	04422	J1760	Penobscot		5			5	0%
Medway	04460	J3370	Penobscot		5			5	0%
Morrill	04952	N3510	Waldo	2	1	1	1	5	0%
Scarborough	04074	C4310	Cumberland			4	1	 5	0%
Springvale	04083	R4290	York	2	1	1	1	 5	0%
Union	04862	G4800	Knox	5				 5	0%
Veazie	04401	J4860	Penobscot	2	1		2	5	0%
Benton	04901	M2310	Somerset	1	1	1	1	 4	0%
Biddeford	04005	R0060	York			4		 4	0%
Fairfield	04937	M2310, F0250	Somerset	2			2	 4	0%
Milford	04461	J3420	Penobscot	4				 4	0%
Orient	04471	P2010	Washington		1	3		4	0%
Stetson	04488	J4550	Penobscot		1	3		4	0%
Unity	04988	N4810	Waldo		3	1		4	0%
Bingham	04920	M1340	Somerset	3				3	0%

Camden	04843	G1630	Кпох			2	1	3	0%
East Waterboro	04030	R4990	York				3	3	0%
Gorham	04038	C0260	Cumberland		2		1	3	0%
Hudson	04449	J2800	Penobscot	3				 3	0%
North								_	
Waterboro	04061	R4990	York				3	 3	0%
Topsfield	04490	P4912	Washington	1		2		3	0%
Vinalhaven	04863	G4890	Кпох	1	2			3	0%
Winterport	04496	N5230	Waldo	3				3	0%
Arundel	04046	R3270	York	1		1		2	0%
Birch Harbor	04613	E2510	Hancock	2				 2	0%
Brunswick	04011	C1550	Cumberland	1			1	2	0%
Caribou	04736	B1670	Aroostook	2				2	0%
Farmingdale	04344	F2330	Kennebec	2				 2	0%
Friendship	04547	G2440	Knox	2				2	0%
Kennebunk	04043	R2910	York			1	1	2	0%
Long Pond	04945	M6250	Somerset	1		1		2	0%
Moose River	04945	M6250	Somerset			2		 2	0%
Moscow	04920	M1340	Somerset	1	1			2	0%
New Vineyard	04956	D3660	Franklin	2				2	0%
Prospect	04660	52540	Usersel					2	00/
Harbor	04669	E2510	Напсоск		2			2	0%
Raymond	04071	0.4150	Cumperiand			1	1	2	0%
Turner	04282	A4790	Androscoggin			1	1	2	0%
Amity	04730	B2780	Aroostook	1				 1	0%
Bath	04530	L0040	Sagadahoc			1		 1	0%
Cary	04730	B2780	Aroostook	1				1	0%
Island	04017	C1970	Cumberland		1			1	0%
Codyville	04490	P4912	Washington		1			1	0%
Concord	04920	M1340	Somerset		1			1	0%
Corea	04624	E2510	Hancock		1			1	0%
Danforth	04424	P2010	Washington		1			1	0%
Enfield	04493	J3070	Penobscot	1				1	0%
Freeport	04032	C2420	Cumberland		1			1	0%
Gardiner	04345	F2330	Kennebec	1				1	0%
Greenfield	04423	J3420	Penobscot	1				1	0%
Grindstone	04460	J3370	Penobscot		1			 1	0%
Hanover	04237	14240	Oxford	1				 1	0%
Howland	04448	J2790	Penobscot		1			 1	0%
Kennebunkport	04046	R2920	York			1		 1	0%
Kittery Point	03905	R2950	York		1			 1	0%
				<u> </u>				 	

Lebanon	04027	R2990	York				1		1	0%
Littleton	04730	B3120	Aroostook	1					1	0%
Mayfield	04920	M1340	Somerset		1				1	0%
Montville	04941	N4320	Waldo	1					1	0%
New Limerick	04730	B2780	Aroostook	1					1	0%
Northeast Harbor	04662	E3530	Hancock				1		1	0%
Pejepscot Village	04086	L4740	Sagadahoc	1					1	0%
Perham	04786	B4970	Aroostook		1				1	0%
Pownal	04069	C3590	Cumberland					1	1	0%
Princeton	04668	P4110	Washington			1			1	0%
Sidney	04330	F0250	Kennebec				1		1	0%
Stockton Springs	04981	N4580	Waldo			1			1	0%
T16R4	04783	B1670	Aroostook	1					1	0%
TWP32	04461	J3420	Penobscot	1					1	0%
West Gouldsboro	04607	E2510	Hancock	1					1	0%
Weston	04424	P2010	Washington	1					1	0%

Attachment 3 – Socioeconomic Decennial Census Data Maine Towns Reporting 20 or more Juvenile Fire Incidents 04-08

	# Rep	# Inc	% Inc								Total			% Pop		% of	ave	owner	% of total	renter	% of total	single fam own	% of total owner occ that are			hs grad		bach	% Speak other lang	in	in Iabor	median	median	fams below	% fam below	ind below	% ind
Town/City	Juv Inc	\$ Loss	\$ data	Value Property	Value Other	Loss Property	Loss Other	1	Total Value	Cty	Pop City	Pop 18+>	Popn <18	Under 18	caucas.	pop cauc	family size	hous units	owner occ	occ housunits	renter occ	occ home	single family***	median value homes	hs grad +	% of pop	bach +	% of pop	(not Eng)	labor force	force %	household income	family income	pov level	pov level	pov level	below pov
Bangor	138	44	32%	\$ 6,799,500	\$ 2,651,945	\$ 5,831,350	\$ 2,617,945	\$ 8,449,295	\$ 9,451,445	Penob	31473	24784	6689	21.3%	29888	95.0%	2.81	6508	47.5%	7205	52.5%	4795	73.7%	\$ 87,300	18130	87.0%	5533	26.5%	5.4%	16526	64.2%	\$ 29,740	\$ 42,047	868	11.9%	4950	16.6%
Westbrook	100	51	51%	\$ 18.089.867	\$ 4.794.550	\$ 1.818.475	\$ 1.028.900	\$ 2.847.375	\$ 22.884.417	Cumb	16142	12358	3784	23.4%	15608	96.7%	2.9	4113	59.9%	2750	40.1%	3119	75.8%	\$ 111.000	9717	87.1%	2066	18.5%	5.8%	8585	67.3%	\$ 37.873	\$ 47.120	289	6.7%	1329	8.3%
				e	e	¢	e	e	e															e					,.			e	e				
Lewiston	70	30	43%	2,080,560	292,300	1,697,310	415,075	2,112,385	2,372,860	Andro	35690	28297	7393	20.7%	34172	95.7%	2.81	7215	47.2%	8075	52.8%	5314	73.7%	87,200	17203	72.3%	2997	12.6%	28.2%	17790	61.1%	29,191	40,061	872	10.0%	5159	15.5%
Auburn	57	31	54%	\$ 12,763,900	\$ 1,243,700	\$ 696,600	\$ 258,500	\$ 955,100	\$ 14,007,600	Andro	23203	17818	5385	23.2%	22517	97.0%	2.88	5587	57.2%	4177	42.8%	4305	77.1%	\$ 86,700	12937	81.2%	3009	18.9%	12.4%	12208	65.9%	\$ 44,012	\$ 44,012	536	9.0%	2688	12.0%
				\$	s	\$	\$	\$	s															\$								\$	\$				
Rockland	54	9	17%	3,940,200	40,000	391,000	10,000	401,000	3,980,200	Knox	7609	6001	1608	21.1%	7449	97.9%	2.78	1861	54.2%	15/3	45.8%	1432	76.9%	82,400	4465	83.3%	1094	20.4%	3.7%	3876	63.0%	30,209	37,083	205	10.4%	1085	14.7%
Old Town	40	16	40%	\$ 2,425,510	\$ 416,750	\$ 864,779	\$ 61,750	\$ 926,529	\$ 2,842,260	Penob	8130	6503	1627	20.0%	7693	94.6%	2.83	2053	59.9%	1373	40.1%	1522	74.1%	\$ 77,100	4365	87.2%	1164	23.2%	5.5%	4229	63.1%	\$ 29,886	\$ 40,589	238	11.8%	1562	18.6%
Orono	35	27	77%	\$ 990,600	\$ 362,830	\$ 219,901	\$ 178,231	\$ 398,132	\$ 1,353,430	Penob	9112	8028	1084	11.9%	8523	93.5%	2.81	1285	47.8%	1406	52.2%	1020	79.4%	\$ 108,300	3396	91.4%	1798	48.4%	9.0%	5095	62.2%	\$ 30,619	\$ 52,714	136	10.3%	1511	25.0%
Dutter			440/	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	0		50477	40070	40.0%	50000	04.00		10017	10.5%	47007	57 5 0	0570	75.00/	\$	00070	00.0%		00.40	0.000	07000	00.4%	\$	\$	4004	0.70		44.40
Portiand	34	14	41%	1,804,525	200,100	32,275	2,700	34,975	2,004,625	Cumb	64249	52177	12072	18.8%	56636	91.3%	2.89	12617	42.5%	17097	57.5%	92/9	/5.9%	121,200	39976	88.3%	16491	30.4%	9.9%	37003	69.1%	35,650	48,763	1331	9.7%	8844	14.1%
Calais	33	1	3%	\$ 200	-	\$ 200	- -	\$ 200	\$ 200	Wash	3447	2691	756	21.9%	3337	96.8%	2.88	938	63.1%	548	36.9%	694	74.0%	\$ 70,700	2031	84.6%	276	11.5%	5.8%	1578	56.5%	\$ 24,623	э 39,118	100	11.1%	559	16.8%
Topsham	32	9	28%	\$ 1,389,500	\$ 440,700	\$ 967,000	\$ 416,500	\$ 1,383,500	\$ 1,830,200	Sag	9100	6574	2526	27.8%	8662	95.2%	3.08	2430	71.0%	994	29.0%	1875	77.2%	\$ 118,700	5402	90.0%	1770	29.5%	6.1%	4797	70.0%	\$ 47,682	\$ 52,134	76	0.3%	371	4.1%
Bucksport	29	8	28%	\$ 1,076,500	\$ 86,700	\$ 169,400	\$ 28,700	\$ 198,100	\$ 1,163,200	Han	4908	3679	1229	25.0%	4813	98.1%	2.87	1515	73.9%	534	26.1%	1014	66.9%	\$ 86,500	2814	84.9%	538	16.2%	3.3%	2505	65.2%	\$ 34,812	\$ 41,818	129	9.4%	526	10.8%
Lincoln	28	2	7%	\$ 134.999	\$ 60.150	\$ 35.000	\$ 5.000	\$ 40.000	\$ 195.149	Penob	5221	3953	1268	24.3%	5135	98.4%	2 87	1656	78.6%	452	21.4%	1262	76.2%	\$ 67 100	2883	80.4%	541	15.1%	3.2%	2168	53.1%	\$ 30.823	\$ 35 295	203	13.6%	873	12.4%
Lincolli	20	-		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	1 Oliob	ULL !		1200	21.070	0100	00.170	2.01	1000	10.070	102	2	1202	10.270	\$	2000	00.170		10.170	0.270	2100	00.170	\$	\$	200	10.070	0.0	12.170
Sanford New	26	16	62%	1,383,565	352,750	388,765	106,750	495,515	1,736,315	York	20806	15258	5548	26.7%	19907	95.7%	3.01	5253	63.5%	3017	36.5%	4062	77.3%	91,600	10523	77.7%	1579	11.7%	12.7%	10129	63.4%	34,668	43,021	601	11.1%	2628	12.8%
Glouceste r	24	7	29%	\$ 327,000	\$ 68,200	\$ 220,000	\$ 64,200	\$ 284,200	\$ 395,200	Cumb	4803	3420	1383	28.8%	4715	98.2%	3.08	1514	86.0%	247	14.0%	984	65.0%	\$ 124,000	2814	89.5%	688	21.9%	3.1%	2813	79.1%	\$ 49,599	\$ 57,727	54	4.0%	254	5.4%
Presque Isle	23	8	35%	\$ 706,000	\$ 30,000	\$ 270,400	\$ 7,000	\$ 277,400	\$ 736,000	Aroos	9511	7453	2058	21.6%	9048	95.1%	2.82	2406	60.7%	1557	39.3%	1789	74.4%	\$ 67,100	5122	81.4%	1321	21.0%	10.1%	4958	64.3%	\$ 29,325	\$ 37,090	226	9.2%	1295	14.5%
South				\$	s	\$	\$	\$	s															\$								s	\$				
Berwick	23	9	39%	4,168,075	322,200	480,500	222,500	703,000	4,490,275	York	6671	4643	2028	30.4%	6513	97.6%	3.17	1883	78.4%	520	21.6%	1558	82.7%	131,700	3927	91.4%	1086	25.3%	2.7%	3610	74.3%	53,201	59,330	51	2.8%	194	2.9%
Warren	22	4	18%	\$ 225,000	\$ 50,000	\$ 225,000	\$ 50,000	\$ 275,000	\$ 275,000	Knox	3794	2829	965	25.4%	3701	97.5%	2.98	1148	85.3%	198	14.7%	613	53.4%	\$ 88,700	2144	83.6%	373	14.5%	5.2%	2066	69.4%	\$ 35,662	\$ 41,086	32	3.3%	233	6.7%
Rockport	20	15	75%	\$ 4,250,400	\$ 2,081,100	\$ 791,500	\$ 281,600	\$ 1,073,100	\$ 6,331,500	Knox	3209	2455	754	23.5%	3167	98.7%	2.83	1093	79.6%	280	20.4%	853	78.0%	\$ 171,900	2243	95.4%	998	42.5%	2.9%	1725	68.3%	\$ 47,155	\$ 56,068	50	5.4%	228	7.1%
US														25.7%		75.1%	3.14		66.2%		33,8%			\$119,600.00		80,4%		24,4%	17,9%		63,9%	\$ 41,994	\$ 50,046		9.2%		12.4%
														20.170		10.170	5.17		00.2 /0		55.070			\$		00.470		21.7/0			00.070	\$	\$		0.270		
MAINE														23.6%		96.9%	2.9		71.6%		28.4%	254866	68.7%	98,700.00		85.4%		22.9%	7.8%		65.3%	37,240	45,179	2661	age	483500	110/2

County Loss Total	County Total Value	loss /value = %loss	% saved of reported figures	County	# of Juv Inc Reported	# of Inc with \$ Loss Total	% of Inc with \$ loss total data	Total Population	Population 18&+	Population <18	Total Population Rank	Under 18 Population Rank	caucasian	% of pop cauc	ave family size	owner occ housing units	% of total owner occ	renter occ housing units	% of total renter occ	single fam own occ homes	% of total owner occ that are single family***	median value homes	hs grad +	hs grad % of pop	bach	bach % of pop	% Speak other language (not Eng)	in labor force	in labor force %	median household income	median family income	families below pov level	% fam below pov level	ind below pov level	% ind below pov
\$ 11,157,816	\$ 17,803,144	63%	37%	Penobscot	350	119	34%	144919	111819	33100	3	3	139989	96.6%	2.88	40554	69.8%	17542	30.2%	26939	66.4%	\$ 82,400	81845	85.7%	19406	20.3%	4.6%	74297	64.0%	\$ 34,274	\$ 42,206	3712	9.7%	18956	12.4%
\$ 4,906,662	\$ 34,077,642	14%	86%	Cumberland	205	92	45%	265612	203650	61962	1	1	254291	95.7%	2.95	72093	66.8%	35896	33.2%	56403	78.2%	\$ 131,200	163376	90.1%	62068	34.2%	5.9%	145269	69.0%	\$ 44,048	\$ 54,485	3527	5.2%	20352	7.9%
\$ 2,145,600	\$ 11,772,200	18%	82%	Knox	145	39	27%	39618	30759	8859	10	11	38935	98.3%	2.83	12287	74.0%	4321	26.0%	8685	70.7%	\$ 112,200	24758	87.5%	7423	26.2%	3.4%	20024	63.0%	\$ 36,774	\$ 43,819	695	6.4%	3865	10.1%
\$ 3.067.485	\$ 16.380.460	19%	81%	Androscoggi n	141	61	43%	103793	78957	24836	5	5	100658	97.0%	2.91	26631	63.4%	15397	36.6%	17914	67.3%	\$ 89.900	55537	79.8%	9993	14.4%	16.4%	54529	66.5%	\$ 35.793	\$ 44.082	2067	7.5%	11115	11.1%
\$ 2 573 665	\$ 8 571 555	30%	70%	York	117	43	37%	186742	140469	46273	2	2	182177	97.6%	2.96	54157	72.6%	20406	27.4%	40642	75.0%	\$ 122,600	110414	86.5%	29189	22.9%	9.4%	99034	68.1%	\$ 43,630	\$ 51.419	2999	5.9%	15003	8.2%
\$ 227 100	\$ 1 192 200	19%	81%	Hancock	71	10	14%	51791	40248	11543	8		50554	97.6%	2.80	16550	75.7%	5314	24.3%	10779	65.1%	\$ 108,600	31960	87.8%	9878	27.1%	3.6%	26826	64.3%	\$	\$ 43,216	997	7.0%	5159	10.2%
\$	\$	E70/	429/	Areastaak	61	12	219/	72020	57010	16720	6	6	71570	06.09/	2.01	22152	72.0%	9204	27.0%	16052	69.0%	\$	20751	76.0%	7524	14.6%	24.19/	24967	59.69/	\$ 29.927	\$ 26.044	2015	0.0%	10212	14.2%
\$	\$	5776	43%	ATOUSLOOK		13	2170	13930	00407	07007	0	0	115/2	90.0%	2.00	22102	73.0%	0204	27.0 %	10000	00.0%	\$	07054	10.9%	1000	00.7%	24.1/0	34007	04.0%	\$	\$	2015	9.0%	10313	14.3 %
\$	\$	38%	62%	Kennebec	5/	21	31%	11/114	89187	2/92/	4	4	114129	97.5%	2.89	33933	71.2%	13/50	28.8%	22365	65.9%	\$7,200	6/651	85.2%	16402	20.7%	7.8%	60015	64.8%	\$	\$	2005	8.5%	12637	11.1%
87,600 \$	310,600 \$	28%	72%	Waldo	55	5	9%	36280	27504	8776	11	12	35513	97.9%	2.88	11756	79.8%	2970	20.2%	6185	52.6%	90,100 \$	20994	84.6%	5545	22.3%	3.3%	18408	64.5%	33,986 \$	40,402 \$	1100	10.9%	4973	13.9%
35,200 \$	200 \$	17600%	17500%	Washington	44	2	5%	33941	26160	7781	13	13	31728	93.5%	2.84	10969	77.7%	3149	22.3%	7030	64.1%	68,700 \$	18759	79.9%	3442	14.7%	5.4%	15500	57.0%	25,869 \$	31,657 \$	1319	14.2%	6272	19.0%
2,633,500	1,830,200 \$	144%	-44%	Sagadahoc	34	10	29%	35214	26140	9074	12	10	33977	96.5%	2.96	10174	72.1%	3943	27.9%	7115	69.9%	110,200 \$	20992	88.0%	5963	25.0%	4.4%	18952	69.6%	41,908 \$	49,714 \$	673	6.9%	3014	8.6%
405,200	745,000	54%	46%	Somerset	27	9	33%	50888	38325	12563	9	8	50434	99.1%	2.87	15952	77.8%	4544	22.2%	8705	54.6%	70,100	28083	80.8%	4094	11.8%	4.3%	24774	62.0%	30,731	36,464	1570	11.1%	7471	14.9%
\$ 40,000	\$ 127,437	31%	69%	Oxford	24	2	8%	54755	41521	13234	7	7	53797	98.3%	2.87	17172	77.0%	5142	23.0%	10546	61.4%	\$ 82,800	31236	82.4%	5959	15.7%	4.5%	27137	62.7%	\$ 33,435	\$ 39,794	1270	8.3%	6353	11.8%
\$ 203,800	\$ 217,800	94%	6%	Franklin	17	3	18%	29467	22538	6929	15	15	28865	98.0%	2.88	8981	76.1%	2825	23.9%	5542	61.7%	\$ 78,300	16415	85.2%	4021	20.9%	4.9%	14821	63.4%	\$ 31,459	\$ 37,863	833	10.7%	4121	14.6%
\$ 125,000	\$ 350,000	36%	64%	Lincoln	16	1	6%	33616	25989	7627	14	14	33099	98.5%	2.82	11755	83.0%	2403	17.0%	7338	62.4%	\$ 119,900	21187	87.9%	6412	26.6%	2.8%	16985	63.0%	\$ 38,686	\$ 45,427	636	6.6%	3375	10.1%
				Piscataquis				17235	13201	4034	16	16	16862	97.8%	2.83	5789	79.5%	1489	20.5%	3625	62.6%	\$ 62,300	9827	80.3%	1631	13.3%	3.4%	7922	57.4%	\$ 28,250	\$ 34,852	543	11.2%	2522	14.8%
				US						25.7%				75.1%	3.14		66.2%		33.8%			\$ 119,600		80.4%		24.4%	17.9%		63.9%	\$ 41,994	\$ 50,046		9.2%		12.4%
				ME						23.6%				96.9%	2.9		71.6%		28.4%	254866	68.7%	\$ 98,700		85.4%		22.9%	7.8%		65.3%	\$ 37,240	\$ 45,179	26611	7.8%	135501	10.9%

								Rank		Rank of	Rank of
								Numbers	Rank	County	County
							Median	of	Numbers	Pop %	Pop%
	# of Juv	Total	Under 18	Rank	Rank	Family	Household	Families	of Indiv	Families	Indiv
	Inc	Population	Population	for HS	for BS+	income	Value	Below	Below	Under	Under
County	Reported	Rank	Rank	Grads	degrees	rank	Rank	Poverty	Poverty	Poverty	Poverty
Penobscot	350	3	3	7	10	9	11	1	2	7	7
Cumberland	205	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	16	16
Knox	145	10	11	5	4	6	4	13	13	14	12
Androscoggin	141	5	5	15	14	5	8	5	5	10	10
York	117	2	2	6	6	2	2	3	3	15	15
Hancock	71	8	9	4	2	8	6	11	10	11	11
Aroostook	61	6	6	16	13	14	16	6	6	6	5
Kennebec	57	4	4	8	9	7	9	4	4	8	9
Waldo	55	11	12	10	7	10	7	10	11	4	6
Washington	44	13	13	14	12	16	14	8	9	1	1
Sagadahoc	34	12	10	2	5	3	5	14	15	12	14
Somerset	27	9	8	12	16	13	13	7	7	3	2
Oxford	24	7	7	11	11	11	10	9	8	9	8
Franklin	17	15	15	9	8	12	12	12	12	5	4
Lincoln	16	14	14	3	3	4	3	15	14	13	13
Piscataquis		16	16	13	15	15	15	16	16	2	3

Attachment 4 -- Juvenile Fire Incident Dollar Loss & Value 2004-early 2008 (Sorted by Maine county, then town alphabetically) % of

		# Inc	total													
	# of Reptd	with	Inc													
	JuvenFires	\$	with \$													
Town/City	2004-2008	Data	data	Value	e Property	Value	e Other	Loss	Property	Loss	Other	Loss	Total	Tota	l Value	County
Auburn	57	31	54%	\$	12,763,900	\$	1,243,700	\$	696,600	\$	258,500	\$	955,100	\$	14,007,600	Androscog
Lewiston	70	30	43%	\$	2,080,560	\$	292,300	\$	1,697,310	\$	415,075	\$	2,112,385	\$	2,372,860	Androscog
Turner	2	0	0%													Androscog
Sabattus	12	0	0%													Androscog
TOTAL																
Androscoggin	141	61	43%													
Bridgewater	7	5	71%	\$	231,000	\$	245,000	\$	166,000	\$	245,000	\$	411,000	\$	476,000	Aroostook
Caribou	2	1	50%	\$	800	\$	2,000							\$	2,800	Aroostook
T16 R4	1	0	0%													Aroostook
Fort Fairfield	10	0	0%													Aroostook
Amity	1	0	0%													Aroostook
Cary	1	0	0%													Aroostook
Houlton	7	0	0%													Aroostook
New Limerick	1	0	0%													Aroostook
Littleton	1	0	0%													Aroostook
Presque Isle	23	8	35%	\$	706,000	\$	30,000	\$	270,400	\$	7,000	\$	277,400	\$	736,000	Aroostook
Perham	1	0	0%													
Washburn	6	0	0%													
TOTAL		1														
Aroostook	61	14	23%													
Portland	34	14	41%	\$	1,804,525	\$	200,100	\$	32,275	\$	2,700	\$	34,975	\$	2,004,625	Cumberlan
Gorham	3	2	67%	\$	120,000	\$	60,000	\$	120,000	\$	60,000	\$	180,000	\$	180,000	Cumberla
Westbrook	100	51	51%	\$	18,089,867	\$	4,794,550	\$	1,818,475	\$	1,028,900	\$	2,847,375	\$	22,884,417	Cumberla
Brunswick	2	2	100%	\$	61,500	\$	200	\$	51,500	\$	200	\$	51,700	\$	61,700	Cumberla
Chebeague Island	1	1	100%	\$	1,000	\$	-	\$	300	\$	-	\$	300	\$	1,000	Cumberla
Cumberland	17	9	53%	\$	1,581,200	\$	556,100	\$	248,800	\$	191,300	\$	440,100	\$	2,137,300	Cumberla
Freeport	1	1	100%							\$	12	\$	12			Cumberla
Gray	6	0	0%													Cumberla
New Gloucester	24	7	29%	\$	327,000	\$	68,200	\$	220,000	\$	64,200	\$	284,200	\$	395,200	Cumberla
Pownal	1	0	0%													Cumberla
Raymond	2	1	50%	\$	596,200	\$	100,000	\$	200,000	\$	40,000	\$	240,000	\$	696,200	Cumberla
Scarborough	5	4	80%	\$	5,702,200	\$	15,000	\$	826,500	\$	1,500	\$	828,000	\$	5,717,200	Cumberla
Yarmouth	9	0	0%													Cumberla
TOTAL																
Cumberland	205	92	45%													
Farmington	9	2	22%	\$	17,500	\$	300	\$	3,500	\$	300	\$	3,800	\$	17,800	Franklin
New Vineyard	2	0	0%											\$	-	Franklin
Strong	6	1	17%	\$	-	\$	200,000	\$	-	\$	200,000	\$	200,000	\$	200,000	Franklin
TOTAL Franklin	17	3	18%													

Bucksport	29	8	28%	\$ 1,076,500	\$ 86,700	\$ 169,400	\$ 28,700	\$ 198,100	\$ 1,163,200	Hancock
Dedham	7	2	29%	\$ 29,000	\$ -	\$ 29,000	\$ -	\$ 29,000	\$ 29,000	Hancock
Birch Harbor	2	0	0%							Hancock
Corea	1	0	0%							Hancock
Gouldsboro	8	0	0%							Hancock
Prospect Harbor	2	0	0%							Hancock
West Gouldsboro	1	0	0%							Hancock
Northeast Harbor	1	0	0%							Hancock
Orland	10	0	0%							Hancock
Sedgwick	10	0	0%							Hancock
TOTAL Hancock	71	10	14%	\$ 1,105,500	\$ 86,700	\$ 198,400	\$ 28,700	\$ 227,100	\$ 1,192,200	
Augusta	7	5	71%	\$ 1,500	\$ 700	\$ 31,500	\$ 5,700	\$ 37,200	\$ 2,200	Kennebec
Sidney	1	0	0%							Kennebec
Waterville	17	5	29%	\$ 303,175	\$ 15,000	\$ 17,500	\$ 2,450	\$ 19,950	\$ 318,175	Kennebec
Chelsea	14	10	71%	\$ 308,000	\$ 66,850	\$ 182,500	\$ 41,850	\$ 224,350	\$ 374,850	Kennebec
Farmingdale	2	0	0%							Kennebec
Gardiner	1	0	0%							Kennebec
Winslow	15	1	7%	\$ 84,000	\$ 12,000	\$ 20,000	\$ 2,000	\$ 22,000	\$ 96,000	Kennebec
TOTAL Kennebec	57	21	37%							
Rockland	54	9	17%	\$ 3,940,200	\$ 40,000	\$ 391,000	\$ 10,000	\$ 401,000	\$ 3,980,200	Knox
Camden	3	0	0%							Knox
Friendship	2	0	0%							Knox
Норе	6	5	83%	\$ 335,000	\$ 125,000	\$ 60,000	\$ 37,000	\$ 97,000	\$ 460,000	Knox
Rockport	20	15	75%	\$ 4,250,400	\$ 2,081,100	\$ 791,500	\$ 281,600	\$ 1,073,100	\$ 6,331,500	Knox
Thomaston	17	2	12%	\$ 262,000	\$ 250,000	\$ 36,000	\$ 50,000	\$ 86,000	\$ 512,000	Knox
Union	5	0	0%						\$ -	Knox
Vinalhaven	3	3	100%	\$ 27,500	\$ 1,000	\$ 27,500	\$ 1,000	\$ 28,500	\$ 28,500	Knox
Warren	22	4	18%	\$ 225,000	\$ 50,000	\$ 225,000	\$ 50,000	\$ 275,000	\$ 275,000	Knox
Washington	13	1	8%	\$ 165,000	\$ 20,000	\$ 165,000	\$ 20,000	\$ 185,000	\$ 185,000	Knox
TOTAL Knox	145	39	27%	\$ 9,205,100	\$ 2,567,100	\$ 1,696,000	\$ 449,600	\$ 2,145,600	\$ 11,772,200	
Jefferson	6	0	0%						\$ -	Lincoln
Newcastle	10	1	10%	\$ 350,000	\$ -	\$ 125,000	\$ -	\$ 125,000	\$ 350,000	Lincoln
TOTAL Lincoln	16	1	6%							
Peru	11	0	0%							Oxford
Hanover	1	0	0%							Oxford
Rumford	6	2	33%	\$ 107,437	\$ 20,000	\$ 30,000	\$ 10,000	\$ 40,000	\$ 127,437	Oxford
Woodstock	6	0	0%							Oxford
TOTAL Oxford	24	2	8%							

Bangor	138	44	32%	\$ 6,799,500	\$ 2,651,945	\$	5,831,350	\$ 2,617,945	\$ 8,449,295	\$ 9,451,445	Penobscot
Old Town	40	16	40%	\$ 2,425,510	\$ 416,750	\$	864,779	\$ 61,750	\$ 926,529	\$ 2,842,260	Penobscot
Carmel	16	5	31%	\$ 455,500	\$ 57,000	\$	356,000	\$ 56,750	\$ 412,750	\$ 512,500	Penobscot
Charleston	5	0	0%							\$ -	Penobscot
Corinth	18	12	67%	\$ 1,558,250	\$ 513,501	\$	326,250	\$ 205,701	\$ 531,951	\$ 2,071,751	Penobscot
Greenbush	12	0	0%							\$ -	Penobscot
Hampden	12	4	33%	\$ 328,759	\$ 50,000	\$	88,659	\$ 25,000	\$ 113,659	\$ 378,759	Penobscot
Holden	14	5	36%	\$ 431,350	\$ 220,000	\$	93,000	\$ 45,000	\$ 138,000	\$ 651,350	Penobscot
Howland	1	0	0%							\$ -	Penobscot
Hudson	3	0	0%							\$ -	Penobscot
Chester	6	0	0%							\$ -	Penobscot
Enfield	1	0	0%							\$ -	Penobscot
Lincoln	28	2	7%	\$ 134,999	\$ 60,150	\$	35,000	\$ 5,000	\$ 40,000	\$ 195,149	Penobscot
Grindstone	1		0%							\$ -	Penobscot
Medway	5		0%							\$ -	Penobscot
Greenfield	1		0%							\$ -	Penobscot
Milford	4		0%							\$ -	Penobscot
TWP 32	1		0%							\$ -	Penobscot
Orono	35	27	77%	\$ 990,600	\$ 362,830	\$	219,901	\$ 178,231	\$ 398,132	\$ 1,353,430	Penobscot
Stetson	4	3	75%	\$ 333,500	\$ 10,000	\$	134,500	\$ 10,000	\$ 144,500	\$ 343,500	Penobscot
Veazie	5	1	20%	\$ 1,000	\$ 2,000	\$	1,000	\$ 2,000	\$ 3,000	\$ 3,000	Penobscot
Total Penobscot	350	119	34%								
Bath	1	1	100%			\$	1,000,000	\$ 250,000	\$ 1,250,000		Sagadaho
Pejepscot Village	1	0	0%								Sagadaho
Topsham	32	9	28%	\$ 1,389,500	\$ 440,700	\$	967,000	\$ 416,500	\$ 1,383,500	\$ 1,830,200	Sagadaho
Total Sagadahoc	34	10	29%								
Bingham	3	0	0%							\$ -	Somerset
Concord	1	0	0%							\$ -	Somerset
Mayfield	1	0	0%							\$ -	Somerset
Moscow	2	0	0%							\$ -	Somerset
Benton	4	2	50%	\$ 100,000	\$ 50,000	\$	108,000	\$ 50,000	\$ 158,000	\$ 150,000	Somerset
Fairfield	4	0	0%							\$ -	Somerset
Jackman	8	4	50%	\$ 345,000	\$ 95,000	\$	100,000	\$ 41,000	\$ 141,000	\$ 440,000	Somerset
Long Pond	2	2	100%	\$ 60,000	\$ 35,000	\$	21,000	\$ 25,200	\$ 46,200	\$ 95,000	Somerset
Moose River	2	1	50%	\$ 50,000	\$ 10,000	\$	50,000	\$ 10,000	\$ 60,000	\$ 60,000	Somerset
Total Somerset	27	9	33%		 						
Belfast	19	0	0%		 					\$ -	Waldo
Swanville	7	0	0%							\$ -	Waldo
Morrill	5	0	0%							\$ -	Waldo
Northport	8	2	25%	\$ 235,000	\$ 25,000	\$	26,000	\$ 11,000	\$ 37,000	\$ 260,000	Waldo
Montville	1	0	0%							\$ -	Waldo
Searsmont	7	0	0%							\$ -	Waldo
Stockton Springs	1	0	0%							\$ -	Waldo
Unity	4	3	75%	\$ 35,200	\$ 15,400	\$	35,200	\$ 15,400	\$ 50,600	\$ 50,600	Waldo
Winterport	3	0	0%							\$ -	Waldo
Total Waldo	55	5	9%								Page 46 of 7

Calais	33	1	3%	\$ 200	\$ -	\$ 200	\$ -	\$ 200	\$ 200	Washingto
Danforth	1	0	0%						\$ -	Washingto
Orient	4	0	0%						\$ -	Washingto
Weston	1	0	0%						\$ -	Washingto
Princeton	1	1	100%			\$ 30,000	\$ 5,000	\$ 35,000	\$ -	Washingto
Codyville	1	0	0%						\$ -	Washingto
Topsfield	3	0	0%							Washingto
Total Washington	44	2	5%							
Biddeford	4	1	25%				\$ 100	\$ 100	\$ -	York
Saco	9	7	78%	\$ 257,050	\$ 25,000	\$ 83,800	\$ 25,200	\$ 109,000	\$ 282,050	York
Kennebunk	2	0	0%						\$ -	York
Kennebunkport	1	1	100%	\$ 178,865		\$ -	\$ 2,000	\$ 2,000	\$ 178,865	York
Kittery	7	0	0%						\$ -	York
Kittery Point	1	0	0%						\$ -	York
Lebanon	1	1	100%	\$ 214,000	\$ 75,000	\$ 3,000	\$ 1,000	\$ 4,000	\$ 289,000	York
Arundel	2	0	0%						\$ -	York
Sanford	26	16	62%	\$ 1,383,565	\$ 352,750	\$ 388,765	\$ 106,750	\$ 495,515	\$ 1,736,315	York
Springvale	5	4	80%	\$ 473,000	\$ 226,450	\$ 233,000	\$ 166,450	\$ 399,450	\$ 699,450	York
South Berwick	23	9	39%	\$ 4,168,075	\$ 322,200	\$ 480,500	\$ 222,500	\$ 703,000	\$ 4,490,275	York
East Waterboro	3	1	33%	\$ 500,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 500,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 600,000	\$ 600,000	York
North Waterboro	3	0	0%							
Wells	11	3	27%	\$ 260,500	\$ 35,100	\$ 235,500	\$ 25,100	\$ 260,600	\$ 295,600	York
Parsonsfield	12	0	0%						\$ -	York
Porter	7	0	0%						\$ -	York
Total York	117	43	37%	\$ 7,435,055	\$ 1,136,500	\$ 1,924,565	\$ 649,100	\$ 2,573,665	\$ 8,571,555	York

Attachment 5a – Maine Statute – Fire Reporting

25 §2395. Filing statement of fire occurrence

The State of Maine claims a copyright in its codified statutes. If you intend to republish this material, we require that you include the following disclaimer in your publication:

All copyrights and other rights to statutory text are reserved by the State of Maine. The text included in this publication reflects changes made through the First Regular Session of the 123rd Legislature, and is current through December 31, 2007, but is subject to change without notice. It is a version that has not been officially certified by the Secretary of State. Refer to the Maine Revised Statutes Annotated and supplements for certified text.

The Office of the Revisor of Statutes also requests that you send us one copy of any statutory publication you may produce. Our goal is not to restrict publishing activity, but to keep track of who is publishing what, to identify any needless duplication and to preserve the State's copyright rights.

PLEASE NOTE: The Revisor's Office cannot perform research for or provide legal advice or interpretation of Maine law to the public. If you need legal assistance, please contact a qualified attorney.

25 §2395. Filing statement of fire occurrence

The municipal fire chief or a designee shall submit to the State Fire Marshal an incident report for each response made, regardless of whether an actual fire occurred. The report must be submitted in a manner consistent with a national fire incident reporting system. [2007, c. 82, §2 (AMD).]

SECTION HISTORY 1969, c. 377, §3 (AMD). 1971, c. 592, §8 (AMD). 1973, c. 632, §5 (RPR). 1979, c. 44, (AMD). 2007, c. 82, §2 (AMD).

Attachment 5b - Locations of Fire Incident, Reports by Year

Reported in 2004 and no other year

year			
Town	<u>Zip</u>	<u>FDID</u>	<u>County</u>
Amity	04730	B2780	Aroostook
Caribou	04736	B1670	Aroostook
Cary	04730	B2780	Aroostook
Houlton	04730	B2780	Aroostook
Littleton	04730	B3120	Aroostook
New Limerick	04730	B2780	Aroostook
T16R4	04783	B1670	Aroostook
New Vineyard	04956	D3660	Franklin
Birch Harbor	04613	E2510	Hancock
West Gouldsboro	04607	E2510	Hancock
Farmingdale	04344	F2330	Kennebec
Gardiner	04345	F2330	Kennebec
Friendship	04547	G2440	Knox
Union	04862	G4800	Knox
Hanover	04237	I4240	Oxford
Rumford	04276	I4240	Oxford
Enfield	04493	J3070	Penobscot
Greenfield	04423	J3420	Penobscot
Hudson	04449	J2800	Penobscot
Milford	04461	J3420	Penobscot
TWP32	04461	J3420	Penobscot
Pejepscot Village	04086	L4740	Sagadahoc
Bingham	04920	M1340	Somerset
Montville	04941	N4320	Waldo
Searsmont	04973	N4320	Waldo
Winterport	04496	N5230	Waldo
Weston	04424	P2010	Washington

Reported in 2004 & 2005 but no subsequent years

Town	<u>Zip</u>	FDID	County
Bridgewater	04735	B1460	Aroostook
Washburn	04786	B4970	Aroostook
Cumberland	04021	C1970	Cumberland
Winslow	04901	F5210	Kennebec
Vinalhaven	04863	G4890	Knox
Jefferson	04348	H2870	Lincoln
Peru	04290	I3990	Oxford
Woodstock	04219	I5270	Oxford
Moscow	04920	M1340	Somerset
Belfast	04915	N0050	Waldo
Swanville	04915	N0050	Waldo

Kittery	03904	R2950	York
Parsonsfield	04047	R5200	York
Porter	04068	R5200	York

Reported in 04-07

Town	<u>Zip</u>	FDID	County
Lewiston	04240	A0160	Androscoggin
Portland	04102	C0190	Cumberland
Westbrook	04092	C0260	Cumberland
Sedgwick	04676	E4360	Hancock
Waterville	04901	F0250	Kennebec
Rockport	04856	G4200	Knox
Warren	04864	G4960	Knox
Bangor	04401	J0030	Penobscot
Lincoln	04457	J3070	Penobscot
Orono	04473	J3820	Penobscot
Topsham	04086	L4740	Sagadahoc
Benton	04901	M2310	Somerset
Morrill	04952	N3510	Waldo
Calais	04619	P0090	Washington
Sanford	04073	R4290	York
South Berwick	03098	R4470	York
Springvale	04083	R4290	York
Wells	04090	R5050	York

Reported in 04-08

Town	<u>Zip</u>	FDID	County
Westbrook	04092	C0260	Cumberland
Sanford	04073	R4290	York
South Berwick	03098	R4470	York
Wells	04090	R5050	York

Reported 2005-2008

Town	<u>Zip</u>	FDID	County
New Gloucester	04260	C3590	Cumberland
Carmel	04419	J1760	Penobscot

Reported 2005-2007

Town	<u>Zip</u>	FDID	<u>County</u>
Auburn	04210	A0010	Androscoggin
New Gloucester	04260	C3590	Cumberland
Farmington	04938	D2340	Franklin
Washington	04574	G4980	Knox
Carmel	04419	J1760	Penobscot
Greenbush	04418	J2540	Penobscot

Attachment 5b continued – Full List of Towns that Reported using MEFIRS (in which Juvenile Fire Incidents were located that filed reports in one or more years)

<u>Towns Did</u> <u>Report 2004</u>	<u>FDID</u>	<u>Towns Did</u> <u>Report 2005</u>	<u>FDID</u>	<u>Towns Did</u> <u>Report 2006</u>	<u>FDID</u>	<u>Towns Did</u> <u>Report 2007</u>	<u>FDID</u>	<u>Towns Did</u> <u>Report 2008</u>	<u>FDID</u>
Lewiston	A0160	Auburn	A0010	Auburn	A0010	Auburn	A0010		
Sabattus	A5020	Lewiston	A0160	Lewiston	A0160	Lewiston	A0160		
				Sabattus	A5020	Turner	A4790		
				Turner	A4790				
Amity	B2780	Bridgewater	B1460	Fort Fairfield	B2360				
Bridgewater	B1460	Perham	B4970	Presque Isle	B4100				
Caribou	B1670	Presque Isle	B4100						
Cary	B2780	Washburn	B4970						
Houlton	B2780								
Littleton	B3120								
New Limerick	B2780								
Presque Isle	B4100								
T16R4	B1670								
Washburn	B4970								
Brunswick	C1550	Chebeague Island	C1970	Gray	C2530	Brunswick	C1550		
Cumberland	C1970	Cumberland	C1970	New Gloucester	C3590	Gorham New	C0260		
Gray	C2530	Freeport	C2420	Portland	C0190	Gloucester	C3590	New Gloucester	C3590
Portland	C0190	Gorham	C0260	Raymond	C4150	Portland	C0190	Pownal	C3590
Westbrook	C0260	New Gloucester	C3590	Scarborough	C4310	Raymond	C4150	Westbrook	C0260
Yarmouth	C5300	Portland	C0190	Westbrook	C0260	Scarborough	C4310		
		Westbrook	C0260	Yarmouth	C5300	Westbrook	C0260		
		Yarmouth	C5300						
New Vineyard	D3660	Farmington	D2340	Farmington	D2340	Farmington	D2340		
Strong	D4620			Strong	D4620	Strong	D4620		
Birch Harbor	F2510	Bucksport	F1570	Bucksport	F1570	Northeast Harbor	F3530		
Dedham	E2050	Corea	E2510	Dedham	E2050	Sedawick	E4360		
Gouldsboro	E2510	Dedham	E2050	Gouldsboro	E2510				
Orland	E3800	Gouldsboro	E2510	Orland	E3800				
Sedqwick	E4360	Orland	E3800	Sedqwick	E4360				
West	50540		50540						
Gouldsboro	E2510	Prospect Harbor	E2510						
		Sedgwick	E4360						

Augusta Chelsea Farmingdale Gardiner Waterville Winslow	F0020 F1780 F2330 F2330 F0250 F5210	Augusta Chelsea Waterville Winslow	F0020 F1780 F0250 F5210	Augusta Waterville	F0020 F0250	Chelsea Sidney Waterville	F1780 F0250 F0250		
Friendship Hope Rockland Rockport Thomaston Union Vinalhaven Warren	G2440 G2770 G0210 G4200 G4710 G4800 G4890 G4960	Hope Rockland Rockport Thomaston Vinalhaven Warren Washington	G2770 G0210 G4200 G4710 G4890 G4960 G4980	Camden Hope Rockland Rockport Thomaston Warren Washington	G1630 G2770 G0210 G4200 G4710 G4960 G4980	Camden Rockport Warren Washington	G1630 G4200 G4960 G4980		
Jefferson Newcastle Hanover Peru Rumford Woodstock	H2870 H3570 I4240 I3990 I4240 I5270	Jefferson Newcastle Peru Woodstock	H2870 H3570 I3990 I5270	Newcastle	H3570				
Bangor Chester Corinth Enfield Greenfield Holden Hudson Lincoln Milford Old Town Orono TWP32 Veazie	J0030 J3070 J1910 J3420 J2750 J2800 J3070 J3420 J0180 J3820 J3820 J3420 J4860	Bangor Carmel Charleston Chester Corinth Greenbush Grindstone Holden Howland Lincoln Medway Old Town Orono Stetson Veazie	J0030 J1760 J1760 J3070 J1910 J2540 J3370 J2750 J2790 J3070 J3370 J0180 J3820 J4860	Bangor Carmel Chester Corinth Greenbush Holden Lincoln Old Town Orono Stetson	J0030 J1760 J3070 J1910 J2540 J2750 J3070 J0180 J3820 J4550	Bangor Carmel Greenbush Hampden Lincoln Orono Veazie	J0030 J1760 J2540 J2660 J3070 J3820 J4860	Carmel Hampden	J1760 J2660
Pejepscot Village Topsham	L4740 L4740	Topsham	L4740	Bath Topsham Bonton	L0040 L4740	Topsham	L4740		
Denton	IVIZ310	Denton	IVIZ310	Denton	IVIZ310	Denton	IVIZ310		

locations	66 FDIDs	86 inc locations	FDIDs	locations	59 FDIDs				
Wells 86 inc	R5050	Wells	R5050	Wells 63 inc	R5050	41 inc locations	36 FDIDs		
Springvale	R4290	Springvale	R4290	Springvale	R4290	Wells	R5050		
South Berwick	R4470	South Berwick	R4470	South Berwick	R4470	Springvale	R4290		
Sanford	R4290	Sanford	R4290	Sanford	R4290	South Berwick	R4470		
Saco	R0230	Saco	R0230	Saco	R0230	Sanford	R4290		
Porter	R5200	Porter	R5200	Kennebunkport	R2920	Waterboro	R4990	8 inc locations	, FDIDs
Parsonsfield	R5200	Parsonsfield	R5200	Kennebunk	R2910	Lebanon	R2990	Wells	R5050
Kittery	R2950	Kittery Point	R2950	Biddeford	R0060	Kennebunk	R2910	South Berwick	R4470
Arundel	R3270	Kittery	R2950	Arundel	R3270	East Waterboro	R4990	Sanford	R4290
		Orient	P2010	Topsfield	P4912				
Weston	P2010	Danforth	P2010	Princeton	P4110				
Topsfield	P4912	Codyville	P4912	Orient	P2010				
Calais	P0090	Calais	P0090	Calais	P0090	Calais	P0090		
Winterport	N5230								
Swanville	N0050	2							
Searsmont	N4320	Unity	N4810	2					
Northport	N3730	Swanville	N0050	Unity	N4810				
Morrill	N3510	Northport	N3730	Stockton	N4580				
Montville	N4320	Morrill	N3510	Northport	N3730				
Belfast	N0050	Belfast	N0050	Morrill	N3510	Morrill	N3510		
Moscow	M1340								
Long Pond	M6250	Moscow	M1340						
Jackman	M6250	Mayfield	M1340	Moose River	M6250				
Fairfield	M2310, F0250	Jackman	M6250	Long Pond	M6250				
Bingham	M1340	Concord	M1340	Jackman	M6250	Fairfield	M2310, I	-0250	

Attachment 5b continued – Towns that Did NOT Report in Specific Years (Juvenile Incidents MEFIRS) as of study

<u>Towns No</u> <u>Report 2004</u> Auburn Tumer	<u>FDID</u> A0010 A4790	<u>Towns No</u> <u>Report 2005</u> Sabattus Turner	<u>FDID</u> A5020 A4790	Towns No Report 2006	<u>FDID</u>	<u>Towns No</u> Report 2006	<u>FDID</u>	<u>Towns No</u> <u>Report 2007</u> Sabattus	<u>FDID</u> A5020	<u>Towns No</u> <u>Report 2008</u> Auburn Lewiston Sabattus Tumer	<u>FDID</u> A0010 A0160 A5020 A4790
Fort Fairfield Perham	B2360 B4970	Amity Caribou Cary Fort Fairfield Houlton Littleton	B2780 B1670 B2780 B2360 B2780 B3120	Amity Bridgewater Caribou Cary Houlton Littleton	B2780 B1460 B1670 B2780 B2780 B3120	Amity Bridgewater Caribou Cary Houlton Littleton	B2780 B1460 B1670 B2780 B2780 B3120	Amity Bridgewater Caribou Cary Fort Fairfield Houlton	B2780 B1460 B1670 B2780 B2360 B2780	Amity Bridgewater Caribou Cary Fort Fairfield Houlton	B2780 B1460 B1670 B2780 B2360 B2780
		Limerick T16R4	B2780 B1670	New Limerick Perham T16R4 Washburn	B2780 B4970 B1670 B4970	New Limerick Perham T16R4 Washburn	B2780 B4970 B1670 B4970	Littleton New Limerick Perham Presque Isle T16R4 Washburn	B3120 B2780 B4970 B4100 B1670 B4970	Littleton New Limerick Perham Presque Isle T16R4 Washburn	B3120 B2780 B4970 B4100 B1670 B4970
Chebeague Island	C1970	Brunswick	C1550	Brunswick Chebeague	C1550	Brunswick Chebeaque	C1550	Chebeague Island	C1970	Brunswick Chebeague	C1550
Freeport Gorham	C2420 C0260	Gray Pownal	C2530 C3590	Island Cumberland	C1970 C1970	Island Cumberland	C1970 C1970	Cumberland Freeport	C1970 C2420	Island Cumberland	C1970 C1970
Gloucester	C3590	Raymond	C4150	Freeport	C2420	Freeport	C2420	Gray	C2530	Freeport	C2420
Pownal Raymond Scarborough	C3590 C4150 C4310	h	C4310	Gorham Pownal	C0260 C3590	Gorham Pownal	C0260 C3590	Pownal Yarmouth	C3590 C5300	Gorham Gray Portland Raymond Scarborough Yarmouth	C0260 C2530 C0190 C4150 C4310 C5300
Farmington	D2340							New Vineyard	D3660	Farmington New Vineyard Strong	D2340 D3660 D4620
Bucksport	E1570	Now						Birch Harbor	E2510	Birch Harbor	E2510
Corea Northeast	E2510	Vineyard	D3660	New Vineyard	D3660	New Vineyard	D3660	Bucksport	E1570	Bucksport	E1570
Harbor Prospect	E3530	Strong	D4620					Corea	E2510	Corea	E2510
Harbor	E2510	Birch Harbor Northeast	E2510	Birch Harbor Corea Northeast	E2510 E2510	Birch Harbor Corea Northeast	E2510 E2510	Dedham Gouldsboro	E2050 E2510	Dedham Gouldsboro Northeast	E2050 E2510
		Harbor West	E3530	Harbor Prospect	E3530	Harbor Prospect	E3530	Orland Prospect	E3800	Harbor	E3530
		Gouldsboro	E2510	Harbor West	E2510	Harbor West	E2510	Harbor West	E2510	Orland Prospect	E3800
				Gouldsboro	E2510	Gouldsboro	E2010	Gouldsboro	E2010	Harbor Sedgwick West Gouldsboro	E2510 E4360 E2510
Sidney	F0250	Farmingdale Gardiner Sidney	F2330 F2330 F0250	Chelsea Farmingdale Gardiner Sidney Winslow	F1780 F2330 F2330 F0250 F5210	Chelsea Farmingdale Gardiner Sidney Winslow	F1780 F2330 F2330 F0250 F5210	Augusta Farmingdale Gardiner Winslow	F0020 F2330 F2330 F5210	Augusta Chelsea Farmingdale Gardiner Sidney Waterville Winslow	F0020 F1780 F2330 F2330 F0250 F0250 F5210

Page **54** of **72**

		I.		I		I		1		I	
Camden Washington	G1630 G4980	Camden Friendship Union	G1630 G2440 G4800	Friendship Union Vinalhaven	G2440 G4800 G4890	Friendship Union Vinalhaven	G2440 G4800 G4890	Friendship Hope Rockland Thomaston Union Vinalhaven	G2440 G2770 G0210 G4710 G4800 G4890	Camden Friendship Hope Rockland Rockport Thomaston Union Vinalhaven Warren Washington	G1630 G2440 G2770 G0210 G4200 G4710 G4800 G4890 G4960 G4980
				Jefferson	H2870	Jefferson	H2870	Jefferson Newcastle	H2870 H3570	Jefferson Newcastle	H2870 H3570
		Hanover Rumford	14240 14240	Hanover Peru Rumford Woodstock	14240 13990 14240 15270	Hanover Peru Rumford Woodstock	14240 13990 14240 15270	Hanover Peru Rumford Woodstock	14240 13990 14240 15270	Hanover Peru Rumford Woodstock	14240 13990 14240 15270
Carmel Charleston Greenbush Grindstone Hampden Howland Medway Stetson	J1760 J1760 J2540 J3370 J2660 J2790 J3370 J4550	Enfield Greenfield Hampden Hudson Milford TWP32	J3070 J3420 J2660 J2800 J3420 J3420	Charleston Enfield Greenfield Grindstone Hampden Howland Hudson Medway Milford TWP32 Veazie	J1760 J3070 J3420 J2660 J2790 J2800 J3370 J3420 J3420 J4860	Charleston Enfield Greenfield Grindstone Hampden Howland Hudson Medway Milford TWP32 Veazie	J1760 J3070 J3420 J3370 J2660 J2790 J2800 J3370 J3420 J3420 J4860	Charleston Chester Corinth Enfield Greenfield Grindstone Holden Howland Hudson Medway Milford Old Town Stetson TWP32	J1760 J3070 J1910 J3420 J3370 J2750 J2750 J2790 J2800 J3370 J3420 J0180 J4550 J3420	Bangor Charleston Chester Corinth Enfield Greenbush Greenfield Grindstone Holden Howland Hudson Lincoln Medway Milford Old Town Orono Stetson TWP32 Veazie	J0030 J1760 J3070 J2540 J3420 J2750 J2790 J2790 J3870 J3820 J3820 J3820 J4550 J3420 J4860
Bath	L0040	Bath Pejepscot Village	L0040 L4740	Pejepscot Village	L4740	Pejepscot Village	L4740	Bath Pejepscot Village	L0040 L4740	Bath Pejepscot Village Topsham	L0040 L4740 L4740
		Bingham	M1340	Bingham	M1340	Bingham	M1340	Bingham	M1340	Benton	M2310
Concord	M1340	Fairfield	M2310, F0250	Concord	M1340	Concord	M1340	Concord	M1340	Bingham	M1340
Mayfield	M1340	Long Pond	M6250	Fairfield	M2310, F0250	Fairfield	M2310, F0250	Jackman	M6250	Concord	M1340
Moose River	M6250	Moose River	M6250	Mayfield Moscow	M1340 M1340	Mayfield Moscow	M1340 M1340	Long Pond Mayfield Moose River Moscow	M6250 M1340 M6250 M1340	Fairfield Jackman Long Pond Mayfield Moose River Moscow	M2310, F0250 M6250 M6250 M1340 M6250 M1340
Stockton Springs Unity	N4580 N4810	Montville Searsmont	N4320 N4320	Belfast Montville	N0050 N4320	Belfast Montville	N0050 N4320	Belfast Montville	N0050 N4320	Belfast Montville	N0050 N4320
		Springs Winterport	N4580 N5230	Searsmont Swanville	N4320 N0050	Searsmont Swanville	N4320 N0050	Northport Searsmont	N3730 N4320	Morrill Northport	N3510 N3730
				Winterport	N5230	Winterport	N5230	Stockton Springs	N4580	Searsmont	N4320
								Swanville Unity Winterport	N0050 N4810 N5230	Stockton Springs Swanville Unity Winterport	N4580 N0050 N4810 N5230
Codyville	P4912	Princeton	P4110	Codyville	P4912	Codyville	P4912	Codyville	P4912	Calais	P0090
										Page 55 c	of 72

Danforth Orient Princeton	P2010 P2010 P4110	Topsfield Weston	P4912 P2010	Danforth Weston	P2010 P2010	Danforth Weston	P2010 P2010	Danforth Orient Princeton Topsfield Weston	P2010 P2010 P4110 P4912 P2010	Codyville Danforth Orient Princeton Topsfield Weston	P4912 P2010 P2010 P4110 P4912 P2010
				East		East					
Biddeford East	R0060	Arundel	R3270	Waterboro	R4990	Waterboro	R4990	Arundel	R3270	Arundel	R3270
Waterboro	R4990	Biddeford East	R0060	Kittery	R2950	Kittery	R2950	Biddeford Kennebunkpo	R0060	Biddeford East	R0060
Kennebunk	R2910	Waterboro	R4990	Kittery Point	R2950	Kittery Point	R2950	rt	R2920	Waterboro	R4990
Kennebunkport	R2920	Kennebunk Kennebunkp	R2910	Lebanon North	R2990	Lebanon North	R2990	Kittery	R2950	Kennebunk	R2910
Kittery Point	R2950	ort	R2920	Waterboro	R4990	Waterboro	R4990	Kittery Point	R2950	Kennebunkport	R2920
Lebanon North	R2990	Lebanon North	R2990	Parsonsfield	R5200	Parsonsfield	R5200	Parsonsfield	R5200	Kittery	R2950
Waterboro	R4990 37	Waterboro 54	R4990 50	Porter	R5200 44	Porter	R5200 44	Porter	R5200	Kittery Point	R2950
44 locations	FDID	locations	FDIDs	67 locations	FDIDs	67 locations	FDIDs	Saco	R0230 71	Lebanon North	R2990
								89 locations	FDIDs	Waterboro Parsonsfield Porter Saco Springvale 122 locations	R4990 R5200 R5200 R0230 R4290 68 FDIDs

Attachment 6 – Incident Types and Property Use Counts for Maine Juvenile Fire Incidents 2004-early 2008

Incident Types

IN_TYPE	Incident Type Definition	# of juv inc 04- 08	% of 1364 total juv inc 04-08
111	building fire (excludes confined fires)	616	45.16%
131	passenger vehicle	355	26.03%
142	brush	36	2.64%
112	structure other than bldg	34	2.49%
113	cooking fire	32	2.35%
130	mobile prop - other	32	2.35%
121	mobile home/fixed res	24	1.76%
162	outside equipment	24	1.76%
110	conversion code	23	1.69%
138	off-road veh	22	1.61%
132	road freight	18	1.32%
143	grass fire	15	1.10%
161	outside storage	15	1.10%
141	forest, woods	14	1.03%
154	dumpster	13	0.95%
114	chimney	12	0.88%
140	natural vegetation	10	0.73%
151	outside trash (no container)	9	0.66%
160	special outside fire	8	0.59%
118	trash in a structure (no flame damage struct)	7	0.51%
122	motor home/rec vehicle	6	0.44%
150	outside rubbish, other	6	0.44%
100	fire	5	0.37%
163	outside gas/vapor	5	0.37%
116	fuel burner/boiler	4	0.29%
123	portable bldg	4	0.29%
164	outside mailbox	3	0.22%
133	rail veh	3	0.22%
134	water veh	3	0.22%
120	mobile property	2	0.15%
153	construction/demo	1	0.07%
135	aircraft fire	1	0.07%
136	self-propelled motor home	1	0.07%
137	camper, rec-veh (not self-prop)	1	0.07%
	blanks (no entry)	0	0.00%

Attachment 6 continued– Incident Types and Property Use Counts for Maine Juvenile Fire Incidents 2004-early 2008

Property Use

IN PROPERTVUSE	PROPUSEDEE	Qut/In	Number of Fires 2004- current	% of Total JFS fires 04- early08
419	1 or 2 family dwelling, fixed	I	450	32.99%
429	multi-family dwelling	I	125	9.16%
962	residential street	0	120	8.80%
965	vehicle parking area	0	98	7.18%
931	open land, grass	0	55	4.03%
961	highway	0	53	3.89%
960	street, other	0	32	2.35%
700	manufacturing processing	В	31	2.27%
808	outbuilding or shed	0	31	2.27%
963	street, commercial	0	21	1.54%
400	residential, other	Ι	18	1.32%
	Blank		14	1.03%
938	cultivated landscapes	0	15	1.10%
881	parking garage, detached resid gar	Ι	15	1.10%
161	restaurant, cafeteria (carryout, d-thru)	Ι	13	0.95%
900	outside, special property, other	0	12	0.88%
880	vehicle storage, other	Ι	11	0.81%
579	car/boat sales	Ι	10	0.73%
519	food, bev sales (grocery)	Ι	10	0.73%
926	outbuilding, mailbox, telephone booth, weather shelters	0	10	0.73%
000	property use, other	В	10	0.73%
NNN	None	В	9	0.66%
511	convenience store	Ι	8	0.59%
891	warehouse	Ι	8	0.59%
460	dorm	Ι	7	0.51%
500	mercantile, business, other	Ι	7	0.51%
807	outside material storage area	0	7	0.51%
569	prof supplies shop	Ι	7	0.51%
936	vacant lot	0	7	0.51%
100	assembly, other	В	6	0.44%
919	dump, landfill, recyc	0	6	0.44%
571	fuel station	Ι	6	0.44%
449	hotel, commercial	Ι	6	0.44%
464	barracks	I	5	0.37%

599	business office	I	5	0.37%
213	elementary school	Ι	5	0.37%
215	high, middle school	Ι	5	0.37%
882	parking garage, general, commercial fleet	Ι	5	0.37%
800	residential storage, self-storage	Ι	5	0.37%
800	storage, other	В	5	0.37%
935	campsite with utilities	0	4	0.29%
631	dept/discount store	Ι	4	0.29%
581	railroad r.o.w.	0	4	0.29%
951	specialty shop	Ι	4	0.29%
549	Undetermined	В	4	0.29%
UUU	boarding house, hotel, shelter	Ι	3	0.22%
439	church, mosque	Ι	3	0.22%
131	clubhouse country club	В	3	0.22%
142	eating, drinking, other	Ι	3	0.22%
160	livestock production	В	3	0.22%
659	livestock, poultry shed	В	3	0.22%
819	bar, nightclub	Ι	2	0.15%
162	constuction site	0	2	0.15%
981	educational, other	Ι	2	0.15%
200	fire station	Ι	2	0.15%
888	fixed-use recreation	0	2	0.15%
110	gas distribution	0	2	0.15%
644	general retail, other	Ι	2	0.15%
580	household goods, repairs	Ι	2	0.15%
539	industrial plant yard	0	2	0.15%
984	lake, river, stream	0	2	0.15%
946	nursing homes	Ι	2	0.15%
311	recreational shop	Ι	2	0.15%
559	residential board/care	Ι	2	0.15%
459	water area, other	0	2	0.15%
940	adult ed ctr	Ι	1	0.07%
241	athletic club	Ι	1	0.07%
141	bank	Ι	1	0.07%
592	bridge, trestle	0	1	0.07%
921	casino, bingo	Ι	1	0.07%
144	courthouse	Ι	1	0.07%
155	defense, military	Ι	1	0.07%
898	dock, marina	В	1	0.07%
342	dr, dentist	Ι	1	0.07%
642	electrical distribution	В	1	0.07%
134	funeral, morgue, crematorium	Ι	1	0.07%
114	ice rink	В	1	0.07%

361	jail	Ι	1	0.07%
564	laundry shop	I	1	0.07%
151	library	I	1	0.07%
679	mine, quarry	0	1	0.07%
557	personal service	I	1	0.07%
596	post office	I	1	0.07%
150	public, government, other	I	1	0.07%
952	railroad yard	0	1	0.07%
648	sanitation utility	В	1	0.07%
123	stadium arena	В	1	0.07%
529	textile retail	I	1	0.07%
120	variable-use amusement	B	1	0.07%
647	water utility	В	1	0.07%
			1364	100.00%

Attachment 7 – Juvenile Fire Incident Area of Origin 2004 – early 2008

FR_AREAOFORIGIN	Area Origin Def	# of JFS	% of
00	engine area	221	16.2%
11	kitchen	127	9.3%
12	undetermined	127	9.3%
	blank (no entry)	82	6.0%
10	bedroom <5	59	4.3%
13	op, passenger area transportion equip	54	4.0%
74	vehicle storage	43	3.2%
78	vehicle other	43	3.2%
23	common room	41	3.0%
25	outside areas, other	37	2.7%
21	substructure. crawl space	29	2.1%
22	wall surface	28	2.1%
82	area of origin, other	27	2.0%
73	storage areas, other	27	2.0%
66	bathroom, locker, portable toilet	24	1.8%
54	laundry	24	1.8%
46	open area	24	1.8%
42	cargo	23	1.7%
14	exterior surface	20	1.5%
35	structural areas	18	1.3%
75	entrance, lobby	17	1.2%
52	storage supplies, basement	17	1.2%
96	ceiling, floor assembly	16	1.2%
58	fuel tank. line	15	1.1%
32	function areas, other	15	1.1%
56	heating room	15	1.1%
55	terrace, courtvard	15	1.1%
09	highway, parking lot, st	14	1.0%
51	concealed wall space	12	0.9%
68	int stair, ramp	12	0.9%
67	chute, trash container	11	0.8%
83	ext balcony, unencl porch	11	0.8%
05	maintenance shop	11	0.8%
04	earess. exit. other	8	0.6%
16	vacant area	8	0.6%
72	attic	7	0.5%
01	closet	6	0.4%
86	duct	6	0.4%
33	processing room	6	0.4%
84	serv or equip area, other	6	0.4%
20	construction	5	0.4%
01	office	5	0.4%
62	storage room	5	0.4%
92	wildland	5	0.4%
64	assemby, <100	4	0.3%
03	bedroom >5	4	0.3%
24	packing, shipping, receiv	4	0.3%
31	sales area	4	0.3%
26	conduit, pipe, shaft	3	0.2%
53	mulitiple	3	0.2%
61	roof surface	3	0.2%
65	railroad r.o.w	2	0.1%
97	assembly, 100+	1	0.1%
27	awning	1	0.1%
81	cell, test	1	0.1%
94	chute (not trash)	1	0.1%
90	conveyor	1	0.1%

45	lab	1	0.1%
36	machinery room	1	0.1%
28	personal service area	1	0.1%
17	records storage, vault	1	0.1%
38	service areas, other	1	0.1%
37	switchgear, transformer	1	0.1%
91	arena, 100+		
44	assembly, sales, other		
77	bar, cafeteria		
15	computer room		
85	dark room, photo		
60	display window		
50	elevator, dumbwaiter		
40	encl w enriched oxygen		
41	enclosure, press air		
43	escalator		
70	exhibit, library		
71	ext stair, fire escape		
34	first-aid, minor surg		
63	hall		
30	incinerator		
93	light shaft		
UU	performance area		
98	pool		
47	projection, stagelight		
80	separate operator area (cockpit, bridge)		
76	surgery (major)		
95	technical processing, other		

		# of JFS	
FR_HEAT		incidents	% of 1364
SOURCE	Heat Source Definition	2004-2008	records
UU	undetermined	462	34%
12	radiated heat op equip	149	11%
10	heat from op equip, other	93	7%
13	electrical arc	74	5%
11	spark op equip	71	5%
43	hot ember, ash	67	5%
	blank (no entry)	63	5%
40	hot smoldering, other	62	5%
00	heat sources, other	55	4%
60	heat from smoking material, open flame, other	39	3%
65	lighter	37	3%
61	cigarette	34	2%
66	candle	32	2%
64	match	24	2%
69	flame, torch, lantern	18	1%
63	undet smoking material	13	1%
56	Incend. device (flame thrower, torch)	11	1%
42	molten, hot material	10	1%
97	multiple heat sources, mult ignition	10	1%
68	backfire internal comb	7	1%
41	heat, spark friction	7	1%
72	spont comb, chem reac	7	1%
70	chem, nat heat sources, other	6	0%
73	lightning	6	0%
54	fireworks	3	0%
74	other static discharge	3	0%
71	sunlight	1	0%
53	blasting agent		
84	conducted heat from another fire		
50	explosive, firework, other		
83	flying ember (not chimney)		
81	heat from direct flame		
80	heat spread from another fire, other		
55	model rockets		
51	munitions		
62	pipe, cigar		
82	radiated heat, another fire		
67	road flare		
57	Tour Hult		

Attachment 8 – Heat Sources Reported Maine Juvenile Fires 2004-early 2008

Attachment 9 – Materials First Ignited n Maine Juvenile Fire Incidents 2004- early 2008

Form of Material First Ignited

		# of	
		JFS Inc	% of
FR_ITEMFIRST		2004-	1364
IGNITED	Form Material First Ignited	2008	incidents
UU	undetermined	371	27%
	blank (no entry)	83	6%
81	elec wire, cable insulation	78	6%
17	structural member	59	4%
76	cooking materials	54	4%
62	flammable liquid from engine	54	4%
99	multiple items	50	4%
00	other	51	4%
96	rubbish	49	4%
12	exterior sidewall	42	3%
21	upholstered chair, veh seats	40	3%
15	interior wall covering	26	2%
65	flamm liq/gas uncontained, accelerants	23	2%
92	magazine, newspaper, files	22	2%
51	box, waste recept	22	2%
72	light vegetation	22	2%
20	furniture, utensils, other	19	1%
34	clothing, not on person	18	1%
64	flamm liq/gas (excludes engine systems)	17	1%
63	flammable liq/gas container, pipe (includes to engine)	15	1%
23	cabinetry	14	1%
70	organic materials, other	14	1%
32	bedding, heating pad	13	1%
94	dust, fiber lint	13	1%
26	household utensils	13	1%
31	mattress, pillow	13	1%
10	structural component	12	1%
25	appliance housing	11	1%
84	tire	11	1%
14	floor covering	8	1%
16	interior ceiling	8	1%
30	soft goods, other	8	1%
36	curtain	7	1%
13	exterior trim	7	1%
18	insulation	7	1%
33	linen	7	1%

97	oily rags	7	1%
59	rolled, wound material	7	1%
44	wood chips	6	0%
60	liquids, piping, filters, other	5	0%
66	pipe	5	0%
50	storage supplies, other	5	0%
11	exterior roof	4	0%
53	pallet, empty	4	0%
71	agricultural crop	3	0%
56	bale, baled storage	3	0%
83	belt drive	3	0%
61	atomized, vapor, aerosols	2	0%
91	book	2	0%
73	heavy vegetation	2	0%
38	luggage	2	0%
22	non-uphol chair	2	0%
85	railroad ties	2	0%
54	rope	2	0%
45	toy	2	0%
93	adhesive	1	0%
74	animal	1	0%
57	bulk storage	1	0%
42	decoration	1	0%
40	decoration, signs, other	1	0%
37	fabric	1	0%
86	fence, pole	1	0%
95	film, residue	1	0%
68	filter	1	0%
24	ironing board	1	0%
58	palletized material	1	0%
52	raw material	1	0%
43	sign	1	0%
47	tarp, tent	1	0%
82	transformer	1	0%
46	awning		0%
41	Christmas tree		0%
35	clothing on person		0%
77	feather or fur		0%
87	fertilizer		0%
75	human		0%
55	packing material		0%
67	pipe covering		0%
88	pyrotechnics		0%

Attachment 9 continued – Materials First Ignited n Maine Juvenile Fire Incidents 2004- early 2008

Type of Material First Ignited

FR_TYPEOFMATERIAL	Type Material Defined	# Juv Inc 04-08	% of total
UU	undetermined	346	25.37%
	blanks	235	17.23%
63	sawn wood	116	8.50%
71	fabric	97	7.11%
41	plastic	65	4.77%
23	gasoline	53	3.89%
99	multiple types of material	50	3.67%
67	paper	36	2.64%
27	cooking, transf, lubricating oil	36	2.64%
00	type of material first ignited (general heading)	36	2.64%
60	wood, paper, processed, other	33	2.42%
65	fiberboard	31	2.27%
68	cardboard	27	1.98%
20	flammable, comb liquid, other	25	1.83%
10	flammable gas, other	17	1.25%
54	hay, straw	17	1.25%
31	fat, grease	16	1.17%
57	food, starch	16	1.17%
50	natural product, manure	15	1.10%
64	plywood	15	1.10%
70	fabric, textiles, other	12	0.88%
61	wood chips, sawdust	12	0.88%
25	kerosense, #1, 2 fuel, diesel	10	0.73%
51	rubber, tire rubber	8	0.59%
12	LP gas	4	0.29%
80	material compounded with oil, other	4	0.29%
77	plastic coated fabric	4	0.29%
34	adhesive, resin, glue, soot	3	0.22%
26	cottonseed oil, #4, 5, 6, creosote	3	0.22%
74	fur, silk, other finished	3	0.22%
62	round timber	3	0.22%
86	asphalt treated material	2	0.15%
36	combustible metal	2	0.15%
24	turpentine, butyl-alcohol	2	0.15%
66	wood pulp, fiber	2	0.15%

	1		
56	coal, peat	1	0.07%
21	ether, pentane	1	0.07%
53	leather	1	0.07%
81	linoleum	1	0.07%
35	paint, varnish	1	0.07%
33	polish, wax	1	0.07%
58	tobacco	1	0.07%
30	volatile solid or chemical	1	0.07%
14	acetylene gas		0.00%
13	anesthetic gas		0.00%
52	cork		0.00%
55	grain, nat fiber		0.00%
76	human hair		0.00%
15	hydrogen		0.00%
22	JP-4 jet fuel, methyl-ethyle-ketone		0.00%
11	natural gas		0.00%
82	oilcloth		0.00%
32	petroleum jelly, nonfood grease		0.00%
38	radioactive material		0.00%
37	solid chemical		0.00%
75	wig		0.00%

Attachment 10 - Detection in Confined & Structure Fires w/Juveniles Involved, 04-08

IN_Detectors	Code Definition	Record Count
1	detector alerted	166
1A	?	2
2	detector did not alert	207
U	unknown	853
Blank	no entry recorded	136

Reported Confined Fires with Juvenile Involvement 2004-early 2008

Reported Structure Fires with Juvenile Involvement 2004-early 2008 (MEFIRS)

STR_DetectPresence			
1	Present	531	54.63%
Ν	None Present	346	35.60%
U	Undetermined	94	9.67%
Blank	no entry recorded	1	0.10%

972 TOTAL

		Record	
STR_DetectType	Code Definition	Count	Percent of Incidents
1	Smoke	396	40.74%
2	Heat	7	0.72%
3	Combo smoke/heat	32	3.29%
4	Sprinkler	4	0.41%
5	More than one type	16	1.65%
0	Other	1	0.10%
U	Undetermined	75	7.72%
Blank	no entry recorded	441	45.37%
	TOTAL	972	100.00%

		Record	
STR_DetectPower	Code Definition	Count	Percent of Incidents
1	battery only	183	18.83%
2	hardwire only	84	8.64%
3	plug in	1	0.10%
4	hardwire w/battery	126	12.96%
5	plug in w/battery	2	0.21%
6	mechanical	3	0.31%
7	multiple detect, power supply	25	2.57%
0	Other	3	0.31%
U	Undetermined	104	10.70%
Blank	no entry recorded	441	45.37%
	TOTAL	972	100.00%

Page 68 of 72

			Record	
STR_Detec	ctOper	Code Definition	Count	Percent of Incidents
1				
1		Fire too small to activate	64	6.58%
2		Operated	276	28.40%
3		Failed	65	6.69%
U		Undetermined	126	12.96%
Blank	ζ.	no entry recorded	441	45.37%
		TOTAL	972	100.00%

		Record	
STR_DetectEffect	Code Definition	Count	Percent of Incidents
1			
I	alerted, occupant responded	203	20.88%
2	alerted, no response	10	1.03%
3	no occupant	37	3.81%
4	failed to alert	9	0.93%
U	undetermined	17	1.75%
Blank	no entry recorded	696	71.60%
	TOTAL	972	100.00%

		Record	
STR_DetectFailure	Code Definition	Count	Percent of Incidents
1			
1	Power failure, disconnect	4	0.41%
2			
	improper install, placement	4	0.41%
3	defective	2	0.21%
4	lack of maint., cleaning	5	0.51%
5	battery missing, disconn.	27	2.78%
6			
0	battery discharged, dead	7	0.72%
0	Other	1	0.10%
U	Undetermined	15	1.54%
Blank	no entry recorded	907	93.31%
	TOTAL	972	100.00%

Attachment 11 - Juvenile Fire Safety Executive Order



AN ORDER ESTABLISHING THE MAINE JUVENILE FIRE SAFETY COLLABORATIVE

WHEREAS, the occurrence of juvenile set fires is both pervasive and incredibly destructive; and

WHEREAS, each year fires set by juveniles, attributed to varied motivations, account for a large percentage of fire-related injuries, property damage and even deaths; and

WHEREAS, from 2000 to present in Maine, there were 1,803 juvenile set fires that accounted for 11 deaths and 91 injuries to civilians and fire service personnel, as well as \$32,538,672 in property damage; and

WHEREAS, a formal risk assessment conducted by the State Fire Marshal's Office revealed that Maine has a juvenile fire safety problem; and

WHEREAS, literature on juvenile fire setting opines that fire setting behaviors associated with non-curiosity motivation can lead to other anti-social, negative behaviors; and

WHEREAS, Maine fire departments respond to several hundred incidents each year in which juveniles were involved with the ignition of a fire; and

WHEREAS, the risk to both civilian lives, the lives of fire service personnel and communities in Maine is a risk that can be mitigated through a reduction in juvenile set fires:

NOW, THEREFORE, I, John E. Baldacci, Governor of the State of Maine, do hereby create the Maine Juvenile Fire Safety Collaborative (hereinafter referred to as the JFS Collaborative) as follows:

Purpose

The Maine JFS Collaborative is established for the purpose of preventing injuries, the loss of life, and the loss of property from juvenile-set fires.

Membership

The JFS Collaborative shall be comprised of representatives from the following departments, agencies, and providers:

- The Commissioner of the Department of Public Safety or designee
- The Commissioner of the Department of Health and Human Services or designee
- The Commissioner of the Department of Corrections or designee
- The Commissioner of the Department of Education or designee
- The State Fire Marshal or designee
- A representative from Maine Fire Training and Education
- A representative from the Southern Maine Community College Fire Science Division
- A representative from fire services
- A representative from the mental health services community
- A representative from law enforcement
- A representative from the Pine Tree Burn Foundation

The Chief Justice of the Maine Supreme Judicial Court is invited to designate a representative of the Judicial Branch to serve on the JFS Collaborative. In addition, the President of the Senate and the Speaker of the House are invited to designate a representative from each chamber to serve.

The Commissioner of the Department of Public Safety or designee shall chair the JFS Collaborative.

The participation and involvement of all disciplines involved in the assessment, intervention and/or treatment of at-risk juveniles with fire setting behavior is encouraged to further the establishment of a statewide safety program.

The JFS Collaborative shall meet at times and places called by the Chair. Technical assistance will be provided to the Collaborative by staff of the Department of Public Safety and other entities, as they are willing and able to assist.

Objectives

The JFS Collaborative will focus on the prevention of injuries, the loss of life and the loss of property. This will be accomplished by:

- Developing and institutionalizing effective juvenile fire safety programs statewide by educating the public regarding the dangers of children and fire;
- Increasing the percentage of departments reporting juvenile related incidents to the Maine Fire Incident Reporting System and the National Fire Incident Reporting System;
- Strengthening existing juvenile fire safety collaboratives;
- Creating new collaboratives in areas of Maine where they do not exist;
- Developing and supporting effective intervention programs; and

14 FY 08/09: Page 2 of 3

• Institutionalizing and sustaining responsive services statewide.

Costs

Members shall serve without compensation. Costs associated with the administrative needs of the Collaborative shall be borne by the Department of Public Safety and/or by any grant funds awarded to participating entities specifically to accomplish the objectives of the JFS Collaborative.

Annual Report

The JFS Collaborative shall submit a report annually to the Governor and the Children's Cabinet by April 1 of each year.

Effective Date

The effective date of this Executive Order is June 17, 2008.

John E. Baldacci, Governor

14 FY 08/09: Page 3 of 3