

**Report on Assessments by the
Office of the Public Advocate on
Investor Owned and Consumer-Owned Utilities**

**Pursuant to
An Act Regarding Public Utility Assessments, Fees and Penalties
(P.L. 2021, Chapter 318)**



**Presented to the
Joint Standing Committee on
Energy, Utilities and Technology
by the Office of the Public Advocate**

January 15, 2022

I. INTRODUCTION

During the First Special Session of the 130th Legislature, the Legislature enacted Public Law 2021, chapter 318 (LD 251, An Act Regarding Public Utility Assessments, Fees and Penalties) (Act). Those portions of the Act that amend the way in which utilities in Maine are assessed take effect August 1, 2022.

Section 2 of the Act revises the current methodology used to calculate the assessment on utilities by the OPA established in 35-A M.R.S. § 116(8). With respect to the allocation of expenses between investor-owned utilities and consumer-owned utilities, Section 2 states:

Within each category of public utility, the assessment must be apportioned and applied separately to investor-owned utilities and consumer-owned utilities. The portion of the assessment applicable to investor-owned utilities and to consumer-owned utilities within each category must be determined based on an accounting by the Public Advocate of the portion of the resources of the Office of the Public Advocate devoted to matters related to investor-owned utilities and the portion devoted to matters related to consumer-owned utilities.

Section 2 further amends the 35-A M.R.S. § 116(8) to add a new subsection E, which requires that assessments be calculated using multiyear average revenues as follows:

E. The portion of the assessment applicable to investor-owned utilities and consumer-owned utilities within each category of public utility, as determined by the Public Advocate under this subsection, must be allocated to each utility based on a 3-year rolling average of revenue reported by the utility.

Section 23 of the Act directs the Office of the Public Advocate (OPA) to submit initial calculations related to the amendments in the Act to the Joint Standing Committee on Energy, Utilities and Technology (Committee) by January 15, 2022. The Committee is authorized to report out a bill to the Second Regular Session of the 130th Legislature based on the information submitted by the OPA and a similar report filed by the Maine Public Utilities Commission (Commission).

The OPA provides this report in accordance with Section 23 of the Act.

II. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

As described above, the Act requires the OPA to make two substantial changes to its calculation of assessments – first to apportion expenses between investor-owned utilities and consumer-owned utilities and second to use a rolling 3-year average of each utility’s revenues in its calculation.

The OPA began apportioning its expenses between investor-owned and consumer-owned water utilities in calendar years 2020, which it used in its calculation of assessments for water utilities for fiscal year 2022. In this report, the OPA uses the same data. Prior to the enactment of the Act, the OPA had not apportioned expenses between investor-owned and consumer-owned transmission and distribution (T&D) utilities. In response to the Act, the OPA established new internal accounts and began tracking time and expense in September 2021. The resulting apportionment of labor and outside services between investor-owned and consumer-owned T&D utilities between September and December 2021 was used to perform the calculations used to develop the revised assessments presented in this report.

The OPA currently uses utility revenue data provided by the Commission from the most recently concluded calendar year in calculating its assessments. To perform the revised calculation of its assessments, the OPA has used three years (2018-2020) of utility revenue data provided by the Commission. Although Section 2 of the Act does not explicitly address revenues for other industries, i.e., natural gas and telephone, the calculations presented herein use a rolling 3-year average of revenues for utilities in those industries as well. The OPA believes doing so advances the intent of the Act. We understand that the Commission has also used 3-year average revenue data for all industries in preparing its report to the Committee required by the Act.

For T&D utilities, under the revised allocation methodology, investor-owned utilities realized an increase of about \$34,000 in total or about 3.0%, and consumer-owned utilities realized a corresponding decrease of \$34,000 or 58.6%. Because the OPA began calculating its assessments for investor-owned and consumer-owned water utilities based on the percentage of actual expenses charged to these respective utility types beginning in fiscal year 2022, there is no change in the assessment between industry types resulting from the requirements of the Act to separate these utility types. When originally implemented, the reapportionment did result in a significant shift in the total assessment charged from consumer-owned to investor-owned water utilities.

The use of 3-year average revenue data does not result in any shift of the apportionment of assessments between consumer-owned to investor-owned utilities in either the T&D or water industries. However, it does result in a reapportionment of assessments within industry groups. For instance, individual consumer-owned water utilities experience increases and decreases in their assessments as a result of the use of 3-year revenue data, but the total assessment to consumer-owned water utilities as a group does not change.

III. IMPACT TO TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION UTILITIES

As described above, investor-owned T&D utilities realized an increase of about \$34,000 in total or about 3.0%, and consumer-owned utilities realized a corresponding decrease of \$34,000 or 58.6%. Because of the use of 3-year rolling revenue data, this does

not result in an across the board change in assessments for individual utilities within each subgroup, but rather, as noted above, some shifting of the allocation of assessments within the industry subgroup. This is most apparent for T&D utilities in the apportionment within the investor-owned subgroup. The following chart presents the calculation by individual T&D utility:

ELECTRIC T&D			
IOU (for-profit)	FY2022 Actual	Revised	% Change
CENTRAL MAINE POWER COMPANY	\$894,564	\$897,369	0.31%
VERSANT POWER F/K/A EMERA MAINE	\$260,174	\$291,608	12.08%
Total Electric IOU	\$1,154,738	\$1,188,978	2.97%
COU (Consumer-Owned)			
EASTERN MAINE ELECTRIC CO-OP, INC	\$25,858	\$10,744	-58.45%
FOX ISLANDS ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC	\$5,385	\$2,304	-57.20%
HOULTON WATER COMPANY - ELECTRIC DEPT.	\$11,885	\$4,643	-60.93%
ISLE-AU-HAUT ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY	\$290	\$121	-58.13%
KENNEBUNK LIGHT & POWER DISTRICT	\$8,038	\$3,471	-56.82%
MADISON ELECTRIC WORKS	\$3,578	\$1,581	-55.81%
MATINICUS PLANTATION ELECTRIC COMPANY	\$284	\$126	-55.72%
MONHEGAN PLANTATION POWER DISTRICT	\$649	\$318	-51.03%
VAN BUREN LIGHT & POWER DISTRICT	\$2,434	\$859	-64.72%
Total Electric COU	\$58,401	\$24,167	-58.62%
Grand Total Electric T&D	\$1,213,139	\$1,213,144	

IV. IMPACT TO WATER UTILITIES

As noted above, the OPA began apportioning its expenses between investor-owned and consumer-owned water utilities in calendar years 2020, which it used in its calculation of assessments for water utilities for fiscal year 2022.

Because the OPA estimates that approximately 90% of staff and consulting resources employed in water regulation are used in matters relating to investor-owned utilities, and because consumer-owned utilities represent approximately 86% of water utility revenues in the State, the reapportionment of assessments between investor-owned and consumer-owned water utilities was substantially larger than that projected for T&D utilities. The OPA estimates that assessments to investor-owned water utilities for Fiscal Year 2022 were 568% higher than they would have been under the former combined approach, while assessments to consumer-owned water utilities were 88% lower.

Finally, as mentioned above, the use of 3-year average revenue data results in a reapportionment of assessments within industry groups. Using this approach, consumer-

owned water utilities will experience increases and decreases in their individual assessments, but the total assessment to consumer-owned water utilities as a group does not change. These variations arise from fluctuations in annual revenues that manifest in single year revenues that differ from 3-year average revenues. A utility with declining revenues will see its assessment go up to reflect higher revenues in prior years, while a utility with increasing revenue will see its assessment go down to reflect lower revenues in prior years. For most utilities, the change is not substantial. However, a handful of small consumer-owned utilities would experience an increase of 25-50% in their assessments as a result of using 3-year average data. In no case, though, was the nominal value of the increase greater than \$200. Also, these increases were more than offset by the decrease resulting from the reapportionment of assessments between investor-owned and consumer-owned water utilities. Finally, they will even out over time as earlier year data is replaced by data from more recent years.

A calculation of the impact of these changes on individual utilities is included in Appendix I to this report.

V. OTHER INDUSTRIES

The use of 3-year average revenues for the telephone and natural gas industries would result in shift among companies within the industry similar to that described with respect to water utilities. It appears that all natural gas utilities within the State experienced declining revenues during calendar year 2020, perhaps due to a mild winter. However, the decreases were not uniform, so the assessments on each change as a result of using 3-year average revenues. The impact on the assessment charged to individual utilities varies from an increase of 9% to a decrease of 3%.

In the telephone industry, the variations were larger. Possibly because of the more competitive nature of the industry, revenue changes for some companies over the 3-year period were more dramatic. However, aside from Consolidated Communications and Spectrum/Charter Communications, each of which are large but had relatively stable revenues over the period, most of these utilities are relatively small so that the nominal value from the shift to 3-year average revenues is not particularly large. As with water utilities, these changes would also even out over time as earlier year data is replaced by data from more recent years. Further, using a 3-year average data creates more consistent assessment levels for individual utilities over time by evening out the impact on assessment that may result from revenue spikes and drops.

Finally, the OPA has not devoted any regulatory resources over the past three years to water common carriers (i.e., regulated ferry service). Therefore, its assessment of the regulated entity in this industry has been and would be zero.

A calculation of the impact of these changes on individual utilities is included in Appendix I to this report.

VI. CONCLUSION

As outlined herein, consumer-owned T&D utilities would see a significant decrease in their assessments as a result of the reapportionment of charges required by the Act, while investor-owned utilities would see a modest increase. The OPA has previously reapportioned assessments between investor-owned and consumer-owned water utilities. The changes were substantially greater than those projected for T&D utilities but have already been implemented.

The use of 3-year revenue data results in a shift of assessments charges within industry subgroups but not between industry subgroups. Some of the resulting changes appear large when viewed on a percentage basis, but virtually all are insignificant on a nominal basis. Critically, use of 3-year average data should create more consistent assessment levels to individual utilities over time by evening out the impact of revenue spikes and drops.

Finally, the OPA notes that the results described above with respect to T&D utilities reflect an allocation based on only a four-month snapshot of staff time data and consulting charges and represent only a single year's data with respect to water utilities. The OPA's case load with respect to consumer-owned and investor-owned utility cases and their related costs fluctuate from year to year. These fluctuations could impact assessments significantly, potentially resulting in a lack of certainty and, in some cases, may result in rate increases for the customers of some of these utilities.

APPENDIX I

COMMUNICATION			
IOU (for-profit)	FY2022 Actual	Revised	% Change
AT AND T CORP	\$1,018	\$1,219	19.77%
ATLANTIC BROADBAND ENTERPRISE, LLC	\$220	\$122	-44.83%
BCN TELECOM, INC. F/K/A NUI TELECOM, INC	\$886	\$794	-10.41%
BEE LINE INC D/B/A BEE LINE CABLE	\$580	\$492	-15.23%
BIDDEFORD INTERNET CORP. D/B/A GWI	\$4,502	\$4,181	-7.13%
BROADVIEW NETWORKS, INC.	\$306	\$250	-18.31%
BULLSEYE TELECOM, INC	\$423	\$334	-21.14%
CENTURYLINK COMMUNICATIONS, LLC F/K/A EMBARQ	\$416	\$361	-13.39%
SPECTRUM/CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS F/K/A TIME WARNER CABLE	\$38,881	\$39,438	1.43%
COBOSSEECONTEE TELEPHONE COMPANY (TDS)	\$100	\$94	-5.85%
COMCAST PHONE OF MAINE	\$7,739	\$6,215	-19.70%
CONSOLIDATED COMMUNICATIONS OF MAINE F/K/A CHINA, COMMUNITY, SIDNEY, STANDISH, NORTHLAND AND MAINE	\$7,240	\$6,631	-8.40%
CRC COMMUNICATIONS	\$3,851	\$3,396	-11.81%
DSI-ITI, INC	\$272	\$228	-16.22%
EARTHLINK BUSINESS II F/K/A CHOICE ONE COMMUNICATIONS	\$670	\$769	14.87%
EARTHLINK BUSINESS IV F/K/A CONVERSENT COMM D/B/A ONE COMMUNICATIONS IV	\$0	\$201	NA
EARTHLINK BUSINESS V F/K/A ONE COMMUNICATIONS CORP F/K/A CTC COMM CORP.	\$921	\$1,252	36.00%
ENHANCED COMMUNICATION OF OF NORTHERN NEW ENGLAND, INC. d/b/a FAIRPOINT LONG DISTANCE d/b/a CONSOLIDATED COMMUNICATIONS/NNE	\$19,116	\$14,516	-24.06%
TVC ALBANY INC D/B/A FIRSTLIGHT FIBER	\$10,470	\$19,676	87.92%
FUSION CLOUD SERVICES LLC F/K/A BIRCH COMMUNICATIONS, LLC	\$405	\$254	-37.28%
GLOBAL CROSSING TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.	\$185	\$117	-36.87%
GRANITE TELECOMMUNICATIONS, LLC	\$1,753	\$1,680	-4.15%
HAMPDEN TELEPHONE COMPANY (TDS)	\$681	\$586	-13.85%
HARTLAND & ST. ALBANS TELEPHONE COMPANY (TDS)	\$817	\$702	-14.00%

ISLAND TELEPHONE CO. (TDS)	\$232	\$193	-16.50%
LEGACY LONG DISTANCE INTERNATIONAL	\$853	\$735	-13.85%
LEVEL 3 COMMUNICATIONS D/B/A LEVEL 3 FINANC, INC.	\$805	\$425	-47.25%
LINCOLNVILLE COMMUNICATIONS, INC.	\$1,693	\$1,352	-20.15%
LINCOLNVILLE NETWORKS	\$100	\$591	492.84%
MCI COMMUNICATIONS SERVICES, INC.	\$3,380	\$1,904	-43.65%
MCIMETRO ACCESS TRASSER D/B/A VERIZON ACCESS	\$848	\$466	-45.04%
METROPOLITAN TELECOMMUNICATIONS OF MAINE, INC.	\$418	\$395	-5.49%
MID MAINE TEL PLUS	\$4,590	\$4,173	-9.09%
MID MAINE TELECOM, LLC	\$740	\$541	-26.82%
NEW HORIZONS COMMUNICATIONS CORP	\$305	\$272	-10.71%
NORTHERN NEW ENGLAND COMPANY, LLC. D/B/A CONSOLIDATED COMMUNICATIONS-NNE	\$63,046	\$64,322	2.03%
OTELCO TELECOMMUNICATIONS, LLC	\$667	\$606	-9.14%
OXFORD TELEPHONE COMPANY	\$623	\$571	-8.45%
OXFORD WEST TELEPHONE COMPANY	\$789	\$724	-8.21%
PAETEC COMMUNICATIONS, LLC.	\$1,396	\$1,458	4.46%
PINE TREE TELEPHONE, LLC	\$559	\$465	-16.83%
PIONEER WIRELESS, INC, D/B/A PIONEER BROADBAND	\$385	\$316	-17.95%
SACO RIVER TELEPHONE, LLC	\$753	\$595	-21.05%
SECURUS TECH, INC F/K/A EVERCOM SYSTEMS, INC.	\$1,456	\$1,253	-13.97%
SOMERSET TELEPHONE COMPANY (TDS)	\$3,275	\$2,663	-18.69%
TDS LONG DISTANCE CORPORATION	\$1,220	\$1,076	-11.77%
TELRITE CORPORATION	\$77	\$112	45.04%
TIDEWATER TELECOM, INC.	\$479	\$2,621	447.29%
UNICAP, INC D/B/A UNITEL LONG DISTANCE	\$278	\$239	-14.17%
UNION RIVER TELEPHONE COMPANY	\$417	\$359	-13.94%
UNITEL, INC.	\$1,029	\$885	-14.01%
WARREN TELEPHONE COMPANY (TDS)	\$273	\$245	-10.13%
WEST PENOBSCOT TELEPHONE COMPANY (TDS)	\$667	\$559	-16.17%
XO COMMUNICATIONS SERVICES, INC.	\$743	\$368	-50.45%
Total Communication	\$193,544	\$193,990	

ELECTRIC T&D			
IOU (for-profit)	FY2022 Actual	Revised	% Change
CENTRAL MAINE POWER COMPANY	\$894,564	\$897,369	0.31%
VERSANT POWER F/K/A EMERA MAINE	\$260,174	\$291,608	12.08%
Total Electric IOU	\$1,154,738	\$1,188,978	2.97%
COU (Consumer-Owned)			
EASTERN MAINE ELECTRIC CO-OP, INC	\$25,858	\$10,744	-58.45%
FOX ISLANDS ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC	\$5,385	\$2,304	-57.20%
HOULTON WATER COMPANY - ELECTRIC DEPT.	\$11,885	\$4,643	-60.93%
ISLE-AU-HAUT ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY	\$290	\$121	-58.13%
KENNEBUNK LIGHT & POWER DISTRICT	\$8,038	\$3,471	-56.82%
MADISON ELECTRIC WORKS	\$3,578	\$1,581	-55.81%
MATINICUS PLANTATION ELECTRIC COMPANY	\$284	\$126	-55.72%
MONHEGAN PLANTATION POWER DISTRICT	\$649	\$318	-51.03%
VAN BUREN LIGHT & POWER DISTRICT	\$2,434	\$859	-64.72%
Total Electric COU	\$58,401	\$24,167	-58.62%
Grand Total Electric T&D	\$1,213,139	\$1,213,144	
NATURAL GAS LDC			
IOU (for-profit)	FY2022 Actual	Revised	% Change
BANGOR NATURAL GAS COMPANY	\$128,211	\$134,819	5.15%
MAINE NATURAL GAS CORPORATION	\$119,373	\$130,492	9.31%
NORTHERN UTILITIES, INC. D/B/A UNITIL	\$517,174	\$501,170	-3.09%
SUMMIT NATURAL GAS OF MAINE, INC	\$99,763	\$98,038	-1.73%
Total Natural Gas LDC	\$864,520	\$864,520	
WATER			
IOU (for-profit)	FY2022 Actual	Revised	% Change
FRYEBURG WATER COMPANY	\$10,508	\$10,416	-0.87%
MACHIAS WATER COMPANY	\$6,505	\$6,819	4.83%
PINE SPRINGS ROADS & WATER, LLC	\$1,332	\$1,342	0.72%
THE MAINE WATER COMPANY FREEPORT	\$12,592	\$13,576	7.82%
THE MAINE WATER COMPANY GREENVILLE	\$7,653	\$7,760	1.40%
THE MAINE WATER COMPANY BIDDEFORD & S.	\$137,429	\$134,362	-2.23%
THE MAINE WATER COMPANY BUCKSPORT	\$10,393	\$10,026	-3.53%
THE MAINE WATER COMPANY CAMDEN/ROCK	\$104,809	\$106,927	2.02%
THE MAINE WATER COMPANY HARTLAND	\$5,443	\$5,404	-0.71%
THE MAINE WATER COMPANY KEZAR FALLS	\$6,685	\$6,770	1.27%
THE MAINE WATER COMPANY MILLINOCKET	\$20,022	\$20,440	2.09%
THE MAINE WATER COMPANY OAKLAND	\$11,640	\$11,617	-0.20%
THE MAINE WATER COMPANY SKOWHEGAN	\$26,075	\$25,627	-1.72%
Total Water IOU	\$361,085	\$361,085	

COU (Consumer-Owned)			
ALFRED WATER DISTRICT	\$159	\$164	3.35%
ANDOVER WATER DISTRICT	\$22	\$24	7.62%
ANSON AND MADISON WATER DISTRICT	\$313	\$340	8.69%
ASHLAND WATER & SEWER DISTRICT	\$47	\$48	1.67%
AUBURN WATER DISTRICT	\$1,217	\$1,180	-3.08%
BAILEYVILLE UTILITIES DISTRICT	\$178	\$158	-11.25%
BANGOR WATER DISTRICT	\$1,976	\$1,988	0.62%
BAR HARBOR WATER DEPARTMENT	\$624	\$663	6.21%
BATH WATER DISTRICT	\$580	\$779	34.24%
BELFAST WATER DISTRICT	\$406	\$423	4.16%
BERWICK WATER DEPARTMENT	\$180	\$202	11.87%
BETHEL WATER DISTRICT	\$138	\$138	-0.17%
BINGHAM WATER DISTRICT	\$70	\$71	1.28%
BOOTHBAY REGION WATER DISTRICT	\$1,022	\$969	-5.23%
BOWDOINHAM WATER DISTRICT	\$62	\$61	-0.43%
BRIDGTON WATER DISTRICT	\$177	\$181	2.55%
BROWNVILLE WATER DEPARTMENT	\$71	\$70	-1.25%
BRUNSWICK & TOPSHAM WATER DISTRICT	\$1,528	\$1,409	-7.81%
BUCKFIELD VILLAGE CORPORATION	\$49	\$50	2.45%
CALAIS (CITY OF) WATER DEPARTMENT	\$185	\$191	2.87%
CANTON WATER DISTRICT	\$35	\$35	2.10%
CARIBOU UTILITIES DISTRICT	\$330	\$333	0.86%
CASTINE WATER DEPARTMENT	\$173	\$184	6.67%
CITY OF BREWER WATER DEPARTMENT	\$752	\$775	3.08%
CITY OF LEWISTON - WATER DIVISION	\$1,585	\$1,611	1.66%
CLINTON WATER DISTRICT	\$63	\$65	3.59%
CORNISH WATER DISTRICT	\$58	\$56	-3.38%
DANFORTH WATER DISTRICT	\$27	\$27	-2.33%
DEXTER UTILITY DISTRICT	\$201	\$199	-1.04%
DIXFIELD WATER DEPARTMENT	\$122	\$120	-1.71%
DOVER AND FOXCROFT WATER DISTRICT	\$212	\$217	2.44%
EAGLE LAKE WATER & SEWER DISTRICT	\$65	\$68	5.21%
EAST MILLINOCKET WATER WORKS	\$86	\$75	-13.35%
ELLSWORTH WATER DEPARTMENT	\$347	\$341	-1.86%
EUSTIS WATER DEPARTMENT	\$43	\$46	7.33%
FARMINGTON VILLAGE CORPORATION	\$346	\$339	-2.07%
FORT FAIRFIELD UTILITIES DISTRICT	\$112	\$126	13.09%
FORT KENT WATER & WASTEWATER DEPARTM	\$89	\$93	4.59%
FRANKLIN WATER DEPARTMENT	\$30	\$31	4.67%
GARDINER WATER DISTRICT	\$537	\$514	-4.28%
GRAND ISLE WATER DEPARTMENT	\$18	\$23	25.23%
GRAY WATER DISTRICT	\$164	\$156	-4.62%
GREAT SALT BAY SANITARY DISTRICT	\$216	\$225	4.03%
GREATER AUGUSTA UTILITY DISTRICT	\$1,084	\$1,127	4.03%

GUILFORD/SANGERVILLE WATER DISTRICT	\$134	\$138	3.59%
HALLOWELL WATER DISTRICT	\$172	\$162	-5.65%
HAMPDEN WATER DISTRICT	\$519	\$506	-2.48%
HARRISON WATER DISTRICT	\$62	\$64	2.78%
HEBRON WATER COMPANY	\$61	\$53	-13.42%
HOULTON WATER COMPANY	\$280	\$288	2.75%
HOWLAND WATER DEPARTMENT	\$65	\$67	3.17%
ISLAND FALLS WATER DEPARTMENT	\$53	\$55	3.37%
JACKMAN UTILITY DISTRICT - WATER DIVISION	\$62	\$63	2.34%
JAY VILLAGE WATER DISTRICT	\$142	\$143	0.40%
KENNEBEC WATER DISTRICT	\$1,703	\$1,706	0.20%
KENNEBUNK/KENNEBUNKPORT/WELLS WATER DISTRICT	\$2,444	\$2,350	-3.82%
KINGFIELD WATER DISTRICT	\$62	\$64	3.74%
KITTERY WATER DISTRICT	\$965	\$1,090	12.97%
LIMERICK WATER DISTRICT	\$40	\$34	-15.15%
LIMESTONE WATER & SEWER DISTRICT	\$68	\$69	2.27%
LINCOLN WATER DISTRICT	\$289	\$281	-2.81%
LISBON WATER DEPARTMENT	\$433	\$420	-2.95%
LIVERMORE FALLS WATER DISTRICT	\$250	\$219	-12.53%
LONG POND WATER DISTRICT	\$41	\$44	5.48%
LUBEC WATER DISTRICT	\$64	\$89	39.07%
MADAWASKA WATER DISTRICT	\$285	\$290	1.60%
MARS HILL UTILITY DISTRICT	\$123	\$124	0.49%
MECHANIC FALLS WATER DEPARTMENT	\$124	\$125	0.11%
MEXICO WATER DISTRICT	\$183	\$184	0.56%
MILBRIDGE WATER DISTRICT	\$39	\$40	3.25%
MILO WATER DISTRICT	\$153	\$149	-2.42%
MONSON UTILITIES DISTRICT	\$16	\$17	3.37%
MOSCOW WATER DISTRICT	\$25	\$25	1.48%
MOUNT DESERT WATER DISTRICT	\$317	\$325	2.60%
MT. BLUE STANDARD WATER DISTRICT	\$64	\$70	9.32%
NEW SHARON WATER DISTRICT	\$18	\$20	6.37%
NEWPORT WATER DISTRICT	\$255	\$265	4.00%
NORRIDGEWOCK WATER DISTRICT	\$87	\$88	1.48%
NORTH BERWICK WATER DISTRICT	\$150	\$154	2.56%
NORTH HAVEN WATER DEPARTMENT	\$81	\$82	0.93%
NORTH JAY WATER DISTRICT	\$58	\$58	1.32%
NORTHPORT VILLAGE CORPORATION (WATER DISTRICT)	\$33	\$49	50.32%
NORWAY WATER DISTRICT	\$139	\$138	-0.87%
OLD TOWN WATER DISTRICT	\$577	\$547	-5.14%
ORONO-VEAZIE WATER DISTRICT	\$402	\$413	2.82%
OXFORD WATER DISTRICT	\$68	\$71	3.22%
PARIS UTILITY DISTRICT	\$186	\$186	-0.42%
PASSAMAQUODDY WATER DISTRICT	\$246	\$258	5.11%

PATTEN WATER DEPARTMENT	\$24	\$22	-8.43%
PITTSFIELD WATER DEPARTMENT	\$102	\$118	14.73%
PORT CLYDE WATER DISTRICT	\$35	\$34	-4.12%
PORTLAND WATER DISTRICT	\$7,666	\$7,544	-1.59%
PRESQUE ISLE UTILITIES DISTRICT	\$502	\$493	-1.77%
QUANTABACOOK WATER DISTRICT	\$21	\$22	2.08%
RANGELEY WATER DISTRICT	\$148	\$133	-9.65%
RICHMOND UTILITIES DISTRICT	\$84	\$87	2.99%
RUMFORD WATER DISTRICT	\$428	\$391	-8.80%
SABATTUS SANITARY / WATER DISTRICT	\$91	\$86	-5.13%
SANFORD WATER DISTRICT	\$908	\$917	1.01%
SEARSPORT WATER DISTRICT	\$275	\$285	3.57%
SOLOON WATER DISTRICT	\$22	\$23	2.91%
SOUTH BERWICK WATER DISTRICT	\$295	\$291	-1.32%
SOUTH FREEPORT WATER DISTRICT	\$62	\$53	-13.19%
SOUTHWEST HARBOR WATER & SEWER DISTRI	\$209	\$206	-1.45%
STONINGTON WATER COMPANY	\$77	\$79	2.07%
STRONG WATER DISTRICT	\$28	\$29	3.05%
VAN BUREN WATER DISTRICT	\$161	\$167	3.80%
VINALHAVEN WATER DISTRICT	\$82	\$87	6.46%
WALDOBORO WATER COMPANY (Town of)	\$71	\$71	-0.31%
WASHBURN WATER & SEWER DISTRICT	\$58	\$58	-0.20%
WATERBORO WATER DISTRICT	\$53	\$55	3.24%
WEST PARIS WATER DISTRICT	\$41	\$40	-3.21%
WILTON WATER DEPARTMENT	\$154	\$143	-7.33%
WINTER HARBOR WATER DISTRICT	\$55	\$57	3.53%
WINTERPORT WATER DISTRICT	\$83	\$85	2.88%
WINTHROP UTILITIES DISTRICT	\$185	\$187	1.36%
WISCASSET WATER DISTRICT	\$239	\$243	1.87%
YARMOUTH WATER DISTRICT	\$677	\$647	-4.38%
YORK WATER DISTRICT	\$1,322	\$1,276	-3.53%
Total COU Water	\$41,395	\$41,406	
Grand Total Water	\$402,480	\$402,491	
WATER COMMON CARRIER			
Casco Bay Water District	\$0	\$0	NA